Changes in visual size perception in schizophrenia and depression
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ABSTRACT
The objective of this research was to measure possible changes in visual size perception of patients with depression and schizophrenia. Three groups were compared: Control Group (CG), Schizophrenia Group (SchG) and Depression Group (DepG). The diameter of the first figure seen by the participants in each painting was recorded in degrees of visual angle. The SchG perceived images 1.47 larger than CG and the DepG 1.28 larger than CG, whereas SchG selected images 1.15 larger than DepG, F (2, 57) = 17.677, p < .0001. These findings suggest there are changes in visual size perception related to depression and schizophrenia.
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RESUMO
O objetivo desta pesquisa foi medir possíveis alterações na percepção visual do tamanho de pacientes com depressão e esquizofrenia. Três grupos foram comparados: Grupo Controle (GC), Grupo Esquizofrenia (SchG) e Grupo Depressão (DepG). O diâmetro da primeira figura vista pelos participantes em cada pintura foi registrado em graus de ângulo visual. O SchG percebeu imagens 1.47 maiores que o GC e o DepG 1.28 maior que o GC, enquanto SchG selecionou imagens 1.15 maiores que DepG, F (2, 57) = 17.677, p < .0001. Esses achados sugerem que há alterações na percepção visual de tamanho relacionadas à depressão e esquizofrenia.
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The aim of the present research was to measure possible changes in visual size perception of patients with depression and schizophrenia.

Depression and schizophrenia are the main neuropsychiatric disorders in the helm of the world’s mental health concerns. Studying their symptoms complements clinical research and contributes to the better understanding of their related cognitive and neurophysiological mechanisms.

A great variety of studies show changes in the visual processing of patients with schizophrenia and depression. Among those are altered perceptual organization (Steven et al., 2020; Panton, Badcock, & Badcock, 2016; Silverstein & Keane, 2011), face perception and recognition (Frassle et al., 2020; Marosi, Fodor, & Csukly, 2019; Stroud et al., 2018; Chen & Ekstrom, 2017; Berg et al., 2016; Maher, Ekstrom, Holt, Ongur, & Chen, 2016; McBain, Norton, & Chen, 2010; Silverstein et al., 2014; Vakhrusheva, et al., 2014),
visual integration (Keane, Paterno, Kastner, & Silverstein, 2016; Postmes et al., 2014; Silverstein et al., 2009; Silverstein et al., 2012; Silverstein et al., 2015), visual search (Ferguson & Cane, 2017; Waszczuk, Brown, Eley, & Lester, 2015; Platt, Murphy, & Lau, 2015; Chen, 2011; Dias, Bickel, Epstein, Sehatpour, & Javitt, 2013), spatial frequencies perception (Butler, Thompson, Seitz, Deveau, & Silverstein, 2017; Flevaris, Martínez, & Hilyard, 2014; Graham & Meng, 2011; Green et al., 2009; Kim, Shim, Song, Im, & Lee, 2015; Shoshina, Shelepin, Vershinina, & Novikova, 2015; Silverstein, Demmin, & Bednar, 2017), color perception (Kogata & Iidaka, 2018; Malone et al., 2013; Silver & Bilker, 2015) and movement perception (Jahshan, Wynn, Mathis, & Green, 2015; O’Bryan, Brenner, Hetrick, & O’Donnell, 2014; Golomb et al., 2009) as well as visual illusions (King, Hodgekins, Chouinard, Chouinard, & Sperandio, 2017).

Weckowics and Witney (1960), for instance, studied the effect of visual size perception of the Muller-Lyer illusion in patients with Schizophrenia and found that schizophrenics perceived larger illusion effects than controls, but the group of non-schizophrenic psychiatric patients also showed larger magnitude effects than controls but, in turn, lower than schizophrenics. They could not explain those latter results.

In another study on the Ponzo and Muller Lyer illusions with schizophrenic patients and non-psychiatric “healthy” patients, Shoshina et al. (2011) found opposite results for the Ponzo illusion depending on the number of years of illness. Schizophrenic patients with less than 10 years since diagnosis showed lower sensitivity than healthy patients, whereas the opposite was true for those with more than 10 years diagnostic. These authors also found that those with more than 10 years of illness were more susceptible to the Muller Lyer illusion than those with less than 10 years since diagnosis who, in turn, were more susceptible than healthy patients. But they found gender differences. Apparently, schizophrenic male patients are less susceptible to the Ponzo illusion than females.

We also estimated effects on visual size perception, in analogous way to Shoshina et al. (2011). Instead of illusions, we used paintings by Salvador Dalí. These are rich in images of varying sizes, some superimposed, providing many options of magnitudes for the observers. Our method was initially developed to evaluate patients with schizophrenia, and in the present study we extend this assessment to patients with
depression. So, we compared to patients with schizophrenia, depression and healthy volunteers. Based on Simas et al. (2011), our hypothesis was that any effect on size perception would be restricted to schizophrenic patients.

**Method**

**Participants**

Sixty volunteers with ages 19-62 yrs old, regardless of gender were divided into three groups: Control Group (CG), Schizophrenia Group (SchG) and Depression Group (DepG).

Volunteers included in DepG were recruited from CAIS Mangabeira and Pan Primavera at João Pessoa-PB, patients included in SchG were recruited from psychiatric clinic from the Hospital das Clínicas from UFPE, at Recife-PE. Volunteers included in CG were recruited from the Tribunal Regional Eleitoral, at João Pessoa-PB. Of those, 20 healthy participants (mean age of 45.11 ± 12.70) were randomly assigned to the control group after a screening that excluded from the sample those that marked “c” or “d” in 50% of the questions from the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI).

For the SchG, from 22 patients, 20 were randomly assigned (mean age of 50.10 ± 12.7) diagnosed with schizophrenia (F20) according to the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Edition, (ICD-10). Only those whose symptoms were in remission and went to the hospital clinic solely to pick up their prescriptions participated in the study.

The DepG included 20 volunteers (mean age 40.12 ± 12.7), diagnosed with depression according to CID-10 as presenting bipolar symptoms without psychosis, currently with depression (F31.4) or with recurrent depression (F33). Volunteers included in this group scored 20 or higher in BDI.

Recruited participants had normal, or corrected to normal, acuity, and volunteers of CG had no use of psychoactive drugs or alcohol 24 hrs prior to test, while patients in both groups were medicated and in remission.
Instruments

The instruments were: (1) individual interview for health condition, familial medical history and sociodemographic data; (2) BDI to assess depression state; (3) Rasquin “E” optotypes; (4) Twenty-four paintings by Salvador Dalí.

Photos of the paintings were printed in 15 x 10 cm glossy paper. These stimuli were selected from a previous study reported by Simas et al. (2011). We also used a partiture stand by Rampazzo & Del Valhe LTDA to place each stimulus, one per trial. Polypropylene material covered the stimulus to allow the pilot markings made by the experimenter over the image selected by each participant.

Procedure

Experiments were run after approval of the Ethics Committee from the “Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, (UFPE)” followed by the signature of the Consent Form by each volunteer. Then, participants were submitted to the BDI and interviewed for assessment of the inclusion/exclusion criteria. The 24 stimuli of Dalí paintings were always placed and presented on the partiture stand in the same order, at 30 cm from the eye. Although there were no time restrictions, volunteers responded within few seconds after each presentation thus the experiment was short and lasted less than 10 minutes on the average. For some inpatients, short intervals were made whenever requested. Volunteers were instructed to indicate the first “at glance” perceived image within each stimulus photograph. The instruction was: “You will see photographs of paintings and, after looking at them, you should point to the first at glance image, or part of an image, you saw”. Upon indication of the image, the experimenter would circle the selected one for each of the 24 paintings.

Results

The diameters of the 24 circled images were measured in mm and converted to degrees of visual angle. An ANOVA for repeated measurements with one factor between (Group) and one factor within (Image) showed differences between groups, $F(2, 57) = 17.677, p < 0.0001$ and between paintings and groups, $F(46, 1311) = 4.0091, p < 0.0001$. 
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Table 1.

Grand mean and standard deviation by groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>SchG</th>
<th>DepG</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>11.85</td>
<td>10.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>5.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Own elaboration.

Figure 1.

Neurobiologia mean magnitudes per stimulus (24 paintings) by groups.

Table 1 shows mean and sd for each group. The SchG selected images of magnitudes 1.47 fold greater than CG (p < 0.0001) whereas DepG selected those 1.28 fold greater than CG (p < 0.0001). On the other hand, SchG selected images of magnitudes 1.15 fold greater than DepG (p = 0.023). Figure 1 shows mean selected magnitudes for each photograph. It is possible to observe that the CG selected images of smaller magnitudes than DepG that, in turn, selected smaller magnitudes than the SchG.
Figure 2 shows frequency of selected magnitudes per group (%) in degrees of visual angle. Thus, 100% from CG, 40% from DepG and 0% from SchG selected magnitudes of 6-9 degrees of visual angle. Forty percent from DepG and 60% from SchG selected magnitudes of 9-12 degrees of visual angle, whereas 20% from DepG and 40% from SchG selected magnitudes greater than 12 degrees of visual angle.

**Discussion**

These findings suggest that there are indeed changes in the visual size perception in schizophrenia as observed in previous studies (Weckowics & Witney, 1960; Shoshina et al. 2011). However, contrary to our expectation, we did find changes in depression towards the same direction, but to a lesser degree. That is, these appear to be gradual changes of growing magnitudes from the CG, to the DepG to the SchG.

The preference for greater magnitudes found for patients from SchG e DepG implies the existence of some sort of dysfunction(s) in visual reception anf pathways such as contrast sensitivity, tuning to spatial frequency, changes in color and movement perception, etc.
Studies by Kitterle and Selig (1991), Kitterle, Christman and Conesa (1993), Robertson (1995), and Hugdahl and Davidson, (2004) have pointed to the possibility that the two hemispheres differ in temporal and spatial processing of information. Kitterle and colleagues argue that the right hemisphere is biased towards a holistic processing, and this possibly imply an important role of low spatial frequencies, thus greater magnitudes. The left hemisphere, on the other end, tend to process detailed information, consistent with the preferential processing of high spatial frequencies, thus lower magnitudes.

Concerning our findings of higher magnitude preference by patients with schizophrenia and depression, we could suggest that processing by the right hemisphere seems to have some sort of prevalence over the processing by the left hemisphere. This seems not to be the case for the control group.

On the physiological aspect, these findings could be related to dysfunctions in neurotransmitters involved in visual processing, from the retina to associative areas, like dopamine, serotonin and GABA, being dopamine and serotonin the most involved within the retina. Accuracy, noise inhibition and information processing are among the aspects that seem to be related to these neurotransmitters. As for GABA, it is mostly involved at the striate level (Sanacora, Mason, Rothman, & Krystal, 2002; Angelucci & Bullier, 2003) and may contribute to the observed effects.

In sum, this study suggests alteration on visual size perception related to neuropsychiatric disorders. We are conducting further experiments with larger samples improving instructions, instruments and procedure(s).
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