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Abstract
Parents face specific challenges during the pandemic and the period of social isolation since they need to cope with their children's demands besides their own. They are a key group to access and promote the wellbeing of children, especially in early development. This review systematically summarizes studies investigating the impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic in parenting and parents’ mental health. PRISMA guidelines were followed. We searched Google Scholar, PubMed, Scielo, Indexpsi, and PsycINFO databases. Ten articles met the criteria for the current literature review. The results showed significantly higher depressive and anxiety symptoms in parents/pregnant women than peers in a non-pandemic scenario. Parents and pregnant women's challenges were related to healthcare services during pregnancy, homeschooling, and loss of social support. This review provides relevant information to support tailored mental health interventions in response to Covid-19.

Keywords: Parenting; Mental health; Pandemic; Covid-19; Pregnancy; Social Isolation

PARENTALIDADE, SAÚDE MENTAL E COVID-19: REVISÃO SISTEMÁTICA RÁPIDA

Resumo

Palavras-chave: Parentalidade; saúde mental; pandemia; Covid-19; gravidez; isolamento social.
Resumen

 Padres y madres enfrentan desafíos específicos durante la pandemia y el período de aislamiento social, ya que necesitan lidiar con las demandas de sus hijos además de las propias. Son un grupo clave para acceder y promover el bienestar de los niños, especialmente en el desarrollo temprano. Esta revisión resumió sistemáticamente estudios que investigaron los impactos de la pandemia de Covid-19 en la parentalidad y la salud mental de padres y madres. Se siguieron las pautas de PRISMA. Se realizaron búsquedas en las bases de datos Google Scholar, PubMed, Scielo, Indexpsí y PsycINFO. Diez artículos cumplieron los criterios. Los resultados mostraron síntomas de depresión y ansiedad significativamente mayores en padres, madres y mujeres embarazadas en comparación con pares en un escenario no pandémico. Los desafíos que estos enfrentan están relacionados con los servicios de salud durante el embarazo, la educación en el hogar y la pérdida del apoyo social. Esta revisión proporciona información relevante para apoyar intervenciones de salud mental en respuesta a Covid-19.

Palabras clave: Cuidado parental; salud mental; pandemia; Covid-19; embarazo; Aislamiento social

1. Introduction

Covid-19 is a respiratory disease caused by the novel Coronavirus Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-Cov-2) and can cause mild to severe symptoms (World Health Organization [WHO], 2020a). Since its origin in December 2019, the virus has spread rapidly, turning into a pandemic. This situation has become a significant public health crisis, and its impact on mental health is a concern that increases each day (Holmes et al., 2020).

A pandemic situation can have relevant psychological effects such as stress, feelings of uncertainty, fear, and anxiety (Brooks, Weston, & Greenberg, 2020; Wang, Zhang, Zhao, Zhang, & Jiang, 2020). A study conducted in China, weeks after the epidemic began, identified moderate to severe depression and anxiety symptoms among the participants (Wang et al., 2020). The disease-related fears and social isolation, economic constraints, and abrupt changes in life and work routines pose an important burden on mental health (Ornell, Schuch, Sordi, & Kessler, 2020). Previous studies have shown that during epidemics, the number of
people whose mental health is affected tends to be higher than the number of people affected by the infection (Shigemura, Ursano, Morganstein, Kurosawa, & Benedek, 2020).

Specific issues on parents' mental health have not been addressed in most scientific publications. It is plausible to consider that parents need to face particular challenges during this period of pandemic and social isolation since they need to cope with their children’s demands besides their own. Disruptions in family organizations like working from home while conducting homeschooling, managing children’s free time, and changes in children's behavior, in addition to the loss of social support, may present important challenges for families (Ministério da Saúde, 2020). As parents are relevant role models for children, good parenting skills become crucial when children are confined at home (Wang et al., 2020). Increased length of stay and contact within the household may leave parents overwhelmed and distressed, especially in crowded houses (Ministério da Saúde, 2020).

Parental stress can cause negative results for children's physical and mental health. Parent's mental health problems are associated with delays in child development (Kingston & Tough, 2014) and reduced quality in early interactions (Stein, Lehtonen, Harvey, Nicol-Harper, & Craske, 2009), which can have important implications for a child's socio-emotional and cognitive development. Consequently, parental mental health is a highly relevant construct that the Covid-19 pandemic may impact.

Some recommendations emphasize parents’ role in managing children's emotions and behavior in the face of the pandemic, without considering parents' mental health as a factor interfering in these tasks (Wang et al., 2020). However, during such a crisis, being a parent increases the chances of stress. For instance, a study conducted during a disease epidemic in Australia identified that families with one child had 1.2 times higher risk of psychological distress than those with no children (Taylor, Agho, Stevens, & Raphael, 2008). Disease-containment measures, such as quarantine and isolation, can be traumatizing to a significant portion of children and parents. A study conducted in North America after the H1N1 outbreak (Sprang & Silman, 2013) found that 25% of confined or isolated parents met the criteria for post-traumatic stress disorder.

Pregnant women should also be paid attention to, as maternal mental health difficulties are related to adverse offspring developmental outcomes.
Parenting, mental health, and COVID-19 (Kingston & Tough, 2013). A systematic review of pregnant women's psychological needs during previous epidemics like SARS, Zika, and H1N1 (Brooks et al., 2020) found negative emotional states, especially anxiety, and an overestimated risk of being infected during pregnancy. They also highlighted that little attention had been paid to the potential psychological impact such outbreaks can have on pregnant women, regardless of their infection status.

Another source of distress during disasters is the decrease in healthcare access and quality. During the Ebola epidemic in Sierra Leone, fewer pregnant women accessed healthcare. For those who did, an increase in maternal mortality and stillbirth was observed (Jones, Gopalakrishnan, Ameh, White, & van den Broek, 2016). Additionally, restriction of visitors and mother–newborn separation are practices adopted in some countries in response to Covid-19 (Koons, 2020) that could have significant physical, psychological, and developmental effects for both women and infants.

It is an immediate priority to collect high-quality data on the mental health effects of the Covid-19 pandemic across the population and vulnerable groups (Holmes et al., 2020). We believe that parents are a key group to access and promote children’s wellbeing, especially concerning early childhood development. Therefore, parents' mental health and parenting challenges in the current public health emergency are essential for designing interventions and public policies. For that reason, we conducted a systematic literature review about the impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic on parenting and parents’ mental health.

2. Method

We conducted a rapid systematic literature review in response to the Covid-19 pandemic. Rapid reviews follow the general guidelines for traditional systematic reviews but are simplified to produce evidence rapidly; in this case, we did not conduct a quality appraisal of the included studies. When evidence synthesis is urgently needed, rapid reviews are recommended to inform public health guidelines and policies (WHO, 2017). We did follow the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analysis (PRISMA) guidelines to systematically report the research literature (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, & Altman, 2009).
2.1 Search strategy

The search strategy is described in Figure 2.1.1. According to our objective, two categories were previously defined: parents’ mental health and parenting challenges during the current pandemic. Keywords were then selected to encompass these themes and were searched in combination with “COVID” by utilizing the Boolean operator “and” at Google Scholar (GS), PubMed, Scielo, Indexpsi, and PsycINFO databases. In the GS database, due to its high sensitivity (Gehanno, Rollin, & Darmoni, 2013), we used quotation marks in each keyword to narrow the results to our topic of interest. As those authors suggested, to improve GS precision, advanced search features were used: in the searches with over one thousand results, we used the filter “all in title.” That resulted in specific documents related to the topic of interest, increasing precision successfully and apparently without any critical loss.

Figure 2.1.1. Search strategy and inclusion/exclusion criteria.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search features</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analysis categories</td>
<td>Parent’s mental health and Challenges in parenting during the Covid-19 pandemic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keywords</td>
<td>Parenting; Parents; Parenting mental health; Parent* mental health; Maternal mental health; Matern* mental health; Mother; Mother mental health; Father mental health; Paternal mental health. AND COVID</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electronic Databases</td>
<td>Google Scholar, PubMed, Scielo, Indexpsi, and PsycINFO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inclusion criteria</td>
<td>Empirical study or literature review. Published in a scientific journal or preprint server. Year 2019 to present. Parent’s mental health/parenting as the main topic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exclusion criteria</td>
<td>Abstract only. Non-English, Spanish, or Portuguese full-text. Target population that not parents or pregnant women. Not fully addressing the topic of interest. Other types of publications (personal opinion, guidelines, thesis, or dissertations).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Root words followed by an asterisk were used to search for the root word ending in any suffixes (e.g., parent* resulted in parenthood, parenting, parental).
2.2 Eligibility criteria

The inclusion criteria for eligible documents included an empirical or literature review study published in a scientific journal or preprint server (such as medRxiv and PsyArxiv) between 2019 and 2020. The articles must have addressed the topic (parenting and parents’ mental health during Covid-19) as the main issue. Due to the rapid need for publication since the pandemic started, we did not consider peer-review as an inclusion criterion.

3. Results

3.1 Search results

The searches were conducted between April 24 and 29, 2020. The PRISMA diagram describes the search and screening process (Figure 3.1.1). A total of 1020 documents were initially retrieved from the databases (Pubmed = 88; GS = 932). Throughout reading the titles and abstracts, 912 documents were excluded. The remaining 68 files were then checked for duplicates, resulting in 56 articles. We screened the reference lists of those 56 studies, resulting in one additional study included. A total of 57 full-text documents were then eligible. At least two authors screened each study. Disagreements were resolved through group discussion.

Forty-seven articles were further excluded due to: the language of the full-text (n = 3); type of publication (n = 11), including opinion and institutional guidelines; target population that was not parents/pregnant women (n = 13), such as the general adult population or children; and not fully addressing the topic of interest (n = 20). This last criterion was intended for articles that addressed some of the subjects of interest (parents/pregnant women, mental health/parenting, and Covid-19), but not all of them and not with the focus established in this review (impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic on mental health and/or parenting). Therefore, studies were excluded because of their focus on physical health (pregnancy or birth outcomes related to Covid-19), health education for parents (suggested activities to do with children during quarantine, how to deal with children’s emotions), violence, or because they did not include Covid-19.
Figure 3.1.1. Flow diagram of the search and screening process.

Ten research articles were found to meet the criteria for the current literature review after assessing eligibility. A deductive thematic analysis (Braun, Clarke, Heyfield, & Terry, 2019) was conducted using Nvivo 12 software. The two categories previously defined (Figure 2.1.1) were created as nodes in Nvivo, and excerpts of texts from the articles were allocated to each node. The first author reviewed this process.
**Included studies**

At the time of the search, most of the included articles were shared in preprint servers (n = 6). The ones published in scientific journals included psychiatry or medical journals. Nine studies were published in English, one in Spanish and none in Portuguese. As for the study design, seven articles were quantitative, one was qualitative, and two conducted literature reviews. Among the empirical studies (n = 8), research was conducted in China (n = 4), the UK (n = 2), the Czech Republic (n = 1), and Canada (n = 1). Figure 3.1.2 provides a methodological overview of the ten included studies. Regarding the target population, five studies investigated parents, and three inquired pregnant women. Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS) was used in two articles. Due to distancing measures, five studies appealed to online surveys. Only one study conducted an intervention (Huang et al., 2020).

**Figure 3.1.2. Methodological characteristics of included studies.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asbury, Fox, Deniz, Code, &amp; Toseeb (2020)</td>
<td>Exploratory cross-sectional study, based on inductive content analysis.</td>
<td>To describe the impact of Covid-19 on parents of children with Special Educational Needs and Disabilities (SEND), mental health, and their child.</td>
<td>241 parents or caregivers of school-aged children (5 to 18 y) with SEND in the UK (92% were mothers).</td>
<td>A free-response question: “Please describe in your own words how the coronavirus outbreak is affecting your mental health and your child’s mental health.”</td>
<td>More parents than children have experienced increased anxiety (44% vs. 25%) and stress (12% vs. 5%), but frequencies for fear (34% vs. 31%), distress (15% vs. 22%), and low mood (18% vs. 14%) were similar for parents and children.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Figure 3.1.2. Methodological characteristics of included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bai, Wang, Liang, Qi, &amp; He</td>
<td>Quantitative cross-sectional study.</td>
<td>To explore the impact of the Covid-19 outbreak on children's behavior and their parents' mental health in China.</td>
<td>30.861 parents of children under 18y old (76.1% female and 23.9% male parents).</td>
<td>General Situation Questionnaire; Child behavior Questionnaire; Child's/Parents' Mental Health Questionnaire (subscales: physical, emotional, and cognitive).</td>
<td>There were significant differences in physical, emotional, and cognitive scores in variables such as parents' gender, parents' age, parents' education, parents' occupation, place of residence, whether there is medical staff in the family, the relationship with the child, and children's age (p &lt; 0.001). Parents' mental health was significantly correlated (p &lt; 0.05) with epidemic-related factors (e.g., knowledge of Covid-19; the impact of the epidemic on household income; worries about the outbreak being out of control), and these factors could significantly predict (p&lt;0.001) parents' mental health scores.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bermejo-Sánchez, Peña-Ayudante, &amp; Espinoza-Portilla (2020)</td>
<td>Theoretical study.</td>
<td>Describe social media's role in supporting pregnant women and mothers with depression, particularly in the Covid-19 pandemic.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Social media may facilitate social interaction, build communities, normalize help-seeking behavior, inspire healthy lifestyle changes, and promote medical research. Governments could implement direct psychological support throughout the internet, including virtual assistants, to answer women's questions in the perinatal period. Peer support could also be implemented in a virtual environment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brom et al.</td>
<td>Quantitative cross-sectional study.</td>
<td>Examine the experience of Czech parents of children in Grades 1–9 (Age 6–15) concerning education at home during the Covid-19 lockdown.</td>
<td>9,810 caregivers: 84% were mothers, 13% fathers, 2% grandparents.</td>
<td>An online survey with 21 questions (19 closed-form; 2 open-form) that addressed socio-demographic data; how much time children and parents spend on home education; how useful it is; what activities parents do while helping children; what complicates study at home, and what would help them.</td>
<td>91% of parents think they are coping well with the current educational situation. Most children (72%) spend 2–4 hours a day studying, and 66% of parents help them at least half of the time. Regarding the open question about difficulties in homeschooling, some parents mentioned lack of time, technology issues, and inadequate teaching skills and content knowledge. As to what would help, they answered fewer assigned tasks, more teacher presence, and more feedback and/or interactivity with children.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang et al.</td>
<td>Single-case report.</td>
<td>Describe the use of a DBT-based psychological intervention for a Covid-19 patient and examine its effectiveness in depression and anxiety symptoms.</td>
<td>A 30-year-old Chinese woman in late pregnancy and early postpartum hospitalized due to Covid-19.</td>
<td>Depression symptoms: Hamilton Depression Scale–17 (HAMD–17) and Montgomery–Asberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS); Anxiety symptoms: Hamilton Anxiety Scale (HAMA).</td>
<td>The three-session DBT-based psychological intervention involved mindfulness and relaxation training, distress tolerance, and interpersonal skills. The effectiveness of the current intervention was supported by the reduction in HAMD–17, HAMA, and MADRS scales, as well as a positive feedback of alleviated symptoms of depression and anxiety reported by the patient.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lebel, MacKinnon, Bagshawe,</td>
<td>Quantitative cross-sectional study based on an online survey.</td>
<td>To assess symptoms of anxiety, depression and determine factors associated with psychological distress during the current Covid-19 pandemic</td>
<td>1987 Canadian &lt;35 weeks pregnant women (51% had other children)</td>
<td>Depression: Edinburgh Depression Scale (EPDS). Anxiety: PROMIS Anxiety Adult short form. Pregnancy-related anxiety: 10-item questionnaire Social support: Social support effectiveness questionnaire (SSEQ).</td>
<td>Pregnant women showed substantially elevated psychological distress compared to similar pre-pandemic pregnancy cohorts, with 37% reporting clinically relevant symptoms of depression, 57% reporting clinically relevant symptoms of anxiety, and 68% reporting elevated pregnancy-related anxiety. Higher levels of social support ($p&lt;0.001$) and longer sleep duration ($p&lt;0.001$) were associated with lower psychological symptoms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tomofhør-Madsen, &amp; Giesbrecht (2020)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Topalidou, Thomson, &amp; Downe (2020)</td>
<td>Rapid evidence review</td>
<td>To produce a rapid evidence review into the clinical and psychological impacts of Covid-19 on perinatal women and their infants.</td>
<td>Studies on perinatal women and their infants</td>
<td>A rapid scoping review in Embase, MEDLINE(R) and Epub Ahead of Print, In-Process &amp; Other Non-Indexed Citations and Daily, Maternity &amp; Infant Care Database (MIDIRS).</td>
<td>Pregnant women and babies are no more at risk of catching Covid-19 than other members of the public. There is no evidence on the short- and longer-term psychological impacts of restrictive practices or social and personal constraints for childbearing women during Covid-19 in particular, or infection pandemics in general. There is a critically important gap about how pandemics affect mothers and their babies and how pregnant women, mothers, and their families can be better supported.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Figure 3.1.2. Methodological characteristics of included studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Toseeb, Asbury, Code, Fox, &amp; Deniz (2020)</td>
<td>Exploratory cross-sectional study, based on content analysis.</td>
<td>Investigate how parents of children with SEND in the UK would like to be supported during the Covid-19 pandemic.</td>
<td>234 parents of children (5 to 18 y) with SEND (91% were mothers).</td>
<td>Parents were asked, “what support would be helpful for you and your child during the Coronavirus outbreak?”</td>
<td>Parents mentioned they would like: Specialist professional advice for parent (13%); Advice or support focused on the child’s mental health and wellbeing (9%); Appropriate educational activities set by the school (9%); Help with shopping or food (7%); Social stories or similar (7%); Respite care for the child (7%); Materials or ideas for home learning (6%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wu et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Comparative quantitative cross-sectional study</td>
<td>Explore the mental health impact of the Covid-19 outbreak on pregnant women.</td>
<td>4124 Chinese pregnant women: 1285 assessed after the Covid-19 outbreak, and 2839 assessed before that point in time.</td>
<td>Perinatal depression (PND) and anxiety: Edinburgh Postnatal Depression Scale (EPDS).</td>
<td>Pregnant women assessed after the declaration of the Covid-19 epidemic had higher scores in EPDS (7.4 vs. 7.7; ( p = 0.03 )) and anxiety subscale (3.2 vs. 3.4; ( p = 0.04 )), especially higher scores for thoughts of self-harm (( p = 0.005 )). Awareness of Covid-19 significantly increased the prevalence of PND (26.0% vs 29.6%; ( p = 0.02 )). A positive correlation was found between the number of new deaths by Covid-19 per day and PND prevalence (( p = 0.001 )). The results suggest that facing the Covid-19 outbreak might aggravate depression and anxiety symptoms and increase the prevalence of PND in pregnant women.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yuan et al. (2020)</td>
<td>Comparative quantitative cross-sectional study</td>
<td>To understand the mental health of the parents of children hospitalized during the Covid-19 epidemic (EH) compared to during the non-epidemic period (NEH).</td>
<td>100 Chinese parents (57% mothers): 50 parents of EH children and 50 parents of NEH children.</td>
<td>Anxiety and Depression: Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS); Dream anxiety: Van Dream Anxiety Scale (VDAS); Depression: Short Form (SF)-36.</td>
<td>The anxiety ($p &lt; 0.001$), depression ($p &lt; 0.001$), VDAS ($p &lt; 0.001$), and (SF)-36 ($p &lt; 0.001$) scores of parents of EH children were higher than that of NEH children. These results indicate that the mental health problems of parents of EH children were more serious than the mental health problems of parents of NEH children.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results of the included studies will be presented concerning (a) Parent's mental health and (b) Challenges in parenting during the pandemic.

3.2 Parent's mental health

Eight studies addressed parents' mental health. They either investigated mental health as a broad concept (Asbury, Fox, Deniz, Code, & Toseeb, 2020; Bai, Wang, Liang, Qi, & He, in press; Topalidou, Thomson, & Downe, 2020), measured depression and anxiety symptoms (Huang et al., 2020; Lebel, MacKinnon, Bagshawe, Tomfohr-Madsen, & Giesbrecht, 2020; Wu et al., 2020, Yuan et al., 2020), or described possible interventions on national or individual levels (Bermejo-Sánchez, Peña-Ayudante, & Espinoza-Portilla, 2020; Huang et al., 2020). The results showed significantly higher depressive and anxiety symptoms in parents/pregnant women than peers in a non-pandemic scenario (Wu et al., 2020; Yuan et al., 2020). An alarming finding was made by Wu and colleagues (2020) regarding higher scores for thoughts of self-harm ($p = 0.005$) in the post-pandemic group of pregnant women in China. Lebel et al. (2020) reported a prevalence of 37% of clinically elevated symptoms of depression (EPDS scores ≥13) among Canadian pregnant women, as well as 56.6% of clinically elevated anxiety. Compared with previous (before the pandemic) community pregnancy cohorts with similar demographic profiles, the authors concluded that their results were all substantially higher.
None of the studies directly compared parents/pregnant women with the general adult population (non-parents) during the pandemic to evaluate if the mental health impact of Covid-19 was different. However, Lebel et al. (2020) did compare their findings with another prevalence study in the general population exposed to Covid-19. They found that the rates of clinically relevant symptoms in their pregnancy cohort were higher, suggesting that the outbreak’s psychological impact may be of particular concern for pregnant individuals.

Parents with an increased probability of worse mental health scores during the Covid-19 pandemic were women living in cities and parenting children under three years old (Bai et al., 2020). Interestingly, pregnant women with low-risk factors for depression in normal situations (like full-time work, primiparous, middle-income level, adequate living area, and younger maternal age) became vulnerable to perinatal depression after the outbreak (Wu et al., 2020). The odds of clinically elevated depression symptoms among pregnant were increased by low social support (Lebel et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020) and by Covid-19-related worries (Lebel et al., 2020) and awareness (Wu et al., 2020). Covid-19-related concerns and parents’ concerns about their children were also strongly correlated with parents’ worse mental health scores (Bai et al., 2020).

Two studies found that first-time pregnant women had higher pregnancy-related anxiety rates (Lebel et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020). Primiparas and younger mothers tended to have more intense psychological responses to an infection outbreak, perhaps because of less experience coping with pregnancy- and baby-related stress (Wu et al., 2020). The most significant effect observed in pregnancy-related anxiety was not getting the prenatal care needed (Lebel et al., 2020), a topic that will be addressed in the next section. Contrarily, protective factors identified for pregnant women’s mental health were better social support levels and longer sleep duration (Lebel et al., 2020).

Included research also investigated parents’ feelings. Pregnant women reported feeling more alone than usual due to the pandemic, corresponding to 92% of Lebel et al.’s (2020) sample. One study (Asbury et al., 2020) reported feelings of worry, fear, stress, and anxiety among parents of children with Special Education Needs and Disabilities (SEND). While low mood and distress are likely to be widespread during the pandemic, the authors suggested they may be experienced more severely within the SEND community.
This new scenario, imposed by the Covid-19 pandemic and distancing measures, calls for innovative parents’ mental health interventions. Bermejo-Sánchez et al. (2020) suggested using technologies and social media to prevent and intervene in perinatal depression through artificial intelligence tools, mental health hotlines and online counseling, and virtual assistance (like chatbots), and online peer-support provided by volunteer women that experienced perinatal depression in the past. These may be relevant actions taken by governments to address perinatal depression during and after the pandemic since social distancing measures will most probably endure.

Huang et al. (2020) described the successful use of a three-session Dialectical Behavior Therapy (DBT)-based psychological intervention in improving anxiety and depressive symptoms in a hospitalized pregnant woman with Covid-19. At the third session, the patient was able to control her emotions, express her feelings, and obtain support. Although these two reported interventions are not restricted to parents, the studies point out the need to consider this population’s particularities.

Topalidou et al. (2020) conducted a rapid evidence review before April 6, 2020, and they did not find any studies relating Covid-19 to maternal mental health. The authors stated that there is a critically important gap in our knowledge about how pandemics affect mothers and their babies and how these families can be better supported, a gap that the current review is trying to, at least partially, address. Only two of the included studies (Brom et al., 2020; Toseeb, Asbury, Code, Fox, & Deniz, in press) did not directly investigate parents’ mental health but addressed parenting challenges discussed next.

### 3.3 Challenges in parenting during the pandemic

Six studies reported challenges that parents and pregnant women may face during the pandemic. These challenges were related to healthcare services during pregnancy (Lebel et al., 2020; Topalidou et al., 2020), homeschooling (Brom et al., 2020; Toseeb et al., in press), and loss of social support (Asbury et al., 2020; Brom et al., 2020; Lebel et al., 2020; Toseeb et al., in press; Wu et al., 2020).

During a pandemic, access to healthcare services and prenatal care can be a challenge for pregnant women. Lebel et al. (2020) found that most participants (89%) needed to make prenatal care changes due to the pandemic, including
canceled appointments and alterations in their birth plan. Most women (82.4%) believed that their prenatal care quality had decreased, and 74% of the sample had trouble accessing other healthcare services during their pregnancy (massage therapy services, chiropractic, and psychological counseling). Notably, 90% were not allowed to bring a support person during prenatal care.

Another challenge experienced in health services was the mother–newborn separation policy. The potential (ill-informed) practices of separating mothers from their infants post–birth, in the name of protection from Covid–19 infection, such as witnessed in Huang et al.’s (2020) Chinese study, for instance, can have harmful impacts on maternal mental health via toxic stress (Topalidou et al., 2020). The authors stated that policies to restrict maternal choices in the name of protection of the mother and baby are not justified based on current evidence and are likely to be associated with longer–term harm, both physical and psychological (Topalidou et al., 2020).

Regarding the challenge of homeschooling during the pandemic, some parents of children with SEND felt that their children did not have sufficient support after schools were closed and that they had been asked to do a challenging job without any training (Toseeb et al., in press). On the other hand, Brom et al. (2020) found that Czech families coped well with helping their children with classes and homework, but some parents reported difficulties. They mentioned a lack of time, as most parents helped their children around two hours a day, which competed with other essential tasks. Besides, most parents believed that the amount of schoolwork was too much. Parents of older children reported a higher perception that time was too short for a large number of tasks (Brom et al., 2020).

Parents also cited the limited number of technological devices as a difficulty since they needed the same devices to work. Finally, the lack of expertise was also pointed out as a challenge. Parents believed they did not know the subjects or didactics to motivate their children. When asked what would help the most, parents mentioned smaller amounts of assigned tasks, a more direct teacher's presence during homeschooling, and more interactivity between children and teachers (Brom et al., 2020).

The loss of social support emerged as a critical challenge during the outbreak. It was mentioned as a difficulty by parents handling homeschooling (Brom et al., 2020) and parents of children with SEND (Asbury et al., 2020; Toseeb et al., in press).
press). Also, the prohibition of a support person was a barrier to quality prenatal care (Lebel et al., 2020). As referred earlier, low social support was associated with worse mental health outcomes (Lebel et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020). The disruptive effects of social isolation on the routine were observed on parents of children with SEND (Asbury et al., 2020; Toseeb et al., in press). Some single parents are isolated with a child who displays very challenging behavior without access to any support or respite. Such stressors can influence the quality of family relationships, making empathic parenting difficult. These parents would like to have specialist professional advice or help to implement a new routine, keeping in mind their child's special educational needs and disabilities, like appropriate educational activities (Toseeb et al., in press).

4. Discussion

The present review suggests that Covid-19 has an important impact on parents' mental health. As will be discussed next, regarding parents, not only Covid-19-related preoccupations but mostly the loss of social support caused by distancing measures may be a burden for parents' mental health when managing disruptions in routine, mainly homeschooling, especially in cases of children with SEND. Besides, included studies also indicate high levels of anxiety and depression among pregnant women, mainly due to reduced social support and difficulties accessing prenatal care.

Results of the included studies indicate a high prevalence of anxiety and depression symptoms among pregnant women and parents. During disaster situations, mental health problems such as postpartum depression, psychosis, and post-traumatic stress disorder are more frequent (Sprang & Silman, 2013). This higher frequency was observed in the present review when studies compared pre- and post-pandemic cohorts. Covid-related worries, parents’ concerns with their children, and an increasing number of deaths per day were all related to parents’ mental health issues according to included studies. In the same direction, a qualitative study conducted with Chinese parents after the SARS outbreak in Hong Kong pointed out that most parents expressed worry about their young children and became more fearful when the death toll increased (Wong, Chan, Tang, & Lam, 2007).
While this manuscript was being written, the American Psychological Association (2020) released preliminary results in the US, showing significantly higher stress-related rates to Covid-19 in parents compared with the adult population without children. 71% of these parents identified managing distance/online learning for their children as a significant source of stress aligned with the current review results. Also, parents were more likely than those without children to say basic needs (such as food and housing), and access to healthcare services were significant sources of stress (American Psychological Association, 2020). These results support our initial idea that parents have increased preoccupations during emergency events, even though more comparative research is needed.

This mental health burden on parents should be a concern since they are, during quarantine, the only support system for children. Caregiver preoccupation may act as a proximal step in transmitting psychiatric disturbance to the offspring, interfering with the parents’ capacity to attend to their infants and provide responsive interactions (Stein et al., 2009). Additionally, stressful home environments for children, especially those with maternal mental health problems and harsh parenting styles, are related to children’s difficulties in socio-emotional skills (Moroni, Nicoletti, & Tominey, 2020) and delays in development (Kingston & Tough, 2014).

Social support emerged as a relevant factor for parents during the Covid-19 crisis. The loss of support networks because of distancing measures was associated with worse mental health outcomes (Lebel et al., 2020; Wu et al., 2020) and was mentioned as a challenge for parenting (Asbury et al., 2020; Brom et al., 2020; Lebel et al., 2020; Toseeb et al., in press; Wu et al., 2020). On the other hand, social support was identified as a protective factor for pregnant women (Lebel et al., 2020).

Once it is known that having a social support network acts as a protective factor for pre- and postnatal depressive symptoms (Maffei, Menezes, & Crepaldi, 2019), it is important to consider the negative effects of social isolation on pregnant women’s mental health. A study conducted in the US (Brock et al., 2014) showed that social support might effectively mitigate the link between prenatal disaster stress and maternal depression when it is informational (advice), instrumental (help), or emotional (communication of confidence to handle a problem). The frequency of received physical comfort did not interact with prenatal disaster stress.
These findings indicate that even with distancing measures, it is still possible to provide adequate support for parents and pregnant women to protect them from mental health issues precipitated by the pandemic. By suggesting that technologies and social media can be a source of support for pregnant and postpartum women during the lockdown, Bermejo-Sánchez et al. (2020) are aligned with these results, providing relevant and evidence-based interventions for governments to consider when implementing public mental health policies during and after this crisis.

Even with the loss of support, parents need to deal with the age-specific demands of their children. According to Bai et al. (2020), caring for children under three years old in the context of the Covid-19 pandemic was related to worse mental health scores on parents. Later in the development cycle, even school-age children and adolescents, who already have more autonomy, need assistance, especially with homeschooling (Brom et al., 2020; Toseeb et al., in press). Although some countries like China, Vietnam, and the UK tried to support parents in homeschooling tasks through television broadcasts (Moroni et al., 2020), this is not a reality for most parents (and there's still no research on parents' satisfaction with this practice). The difficulties regarding homeschooling may be expanded by the loss of support networks, such as other family members and daycare centers, overlapped with parents working from home and domestic tasks (Alon, Doepke, Olmstead-Rumsey, & Tertilt, 2020; Ornell et al., 2020). The absence of support may create a more substantial burden than in just one specific stage of children’s development, although more research is needed to support this hypothesis.

Lack of social support is related to parental burnout, a syndrome in reaction to parental stress (Gérain & Zech, 2018). As mentioned earlier, the current scenario, with infection-related concerns and accumulation of work and household tasks, seems propitious to developing depression, anxiety, and stress among parents. Those caring for children with special needs are also at increased risk of developing burnout (Gérain & Zech, 2018). The current review suggests that an infectious-disease outbreak can intensify the pressure to handle all the demands that a SEND child needs (Asbury et al., 2020; Toseeb et al., in press). Additionally, the lack of social support in the Covid-19 context can be even harder for these parents to experience, making them especially vulnerable to mental health suffering.
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There is no sufficient evidence to determine if pregnant, birthing, postpartum, and lactating women are at an increased risk of Covid-19 infection or severe cases (WHO, 2020b). Still, they are a unique population that needs to be targeted for mental health research and intervention during emergencies and disasters (Holmes et al., 2020). Past crises, such as the Ebola outbreak, have taught us that pregnant women affected by these situations do not receive appropriate prenatal and birth care, increasing psychological distress and adverse birth outcomes (Jones et al., 2016). The present review suggests this may also be true during the Covid-19 pandemic, even in high-income countries, since pregnant women reported decreased prenatal care quality. For instance, that explains the rising rates of maternal mortality, especially among black and Latino communities in the US (Raman, 2020), amplifying racial disparities. Besides that, the mother-newborn separation policy adopted in some countries poses additional stress for women and infants and is not recommended by the World Health Organization (2020b).

The impacts of Covid-19 seem to hit women and men differently. Data found in the current review suggest that the Covid-19 pandemic may further extend the already existing global gender inequality in parenting (United Nations Development Programme [UNDP], 2020). Traditionally, women are the ones who take on the role of informal care within families (Alon et al., 2020) and will probably be responsible for childcare and homeschooling with little or no social support network, as shown in the present review. The role of women as the primary caregiver can be evidenced by considering who participated in the research about their kids: among the five included studies that investigated parents, in four of them, the majority of the sample (over 70%) were mothers (Figure 3.1.2).

The results from Bai et al. (2020) also indicate that urban-living mothers in China are at increased risk of poor mental health outcomes compared with fathers, probably because they accumulate childcare and work activities. Working and single moms may be exposed to an even bigger load, increasing the work–related gender gap (Alon et al., 2020). An example is shown in a preliminary report in Brazil, which found a decrease in the number of scientific papers authored by women than men since the pandemic started (Candido & Campos, 2020). This gender–oriented impact of the pandemic is relevant not only to women’s mental health but also to the next generation since parenting practices and behaviors are among the predictors of an individual’s gendered behaviors and expectations (UNDP, 2020).
It is relevant to consider that the studies found in the current review were carried out mostly in high-income countries. Besides, online data collection used in most empirical studies can lead to sample bias, with respondents not being representative of the whole population, especially in terms of socioeconomic status and ethnic diversity (Hunter, 2012). Brom et al. (2020) mentioned the difficulty of accessing families of low socioeconomic level via an online survey and the fact that these groups’ experiences may be even more invisible in a period of social isolation in which face-to-face interviews are not possible. Moreover, the economic difficulty is associated with higher parental stress levels and mental health problems of caregivers and their children (Newland, Crnic, Cox, & Mills-Koonce, 2013). Wu et al. (2020) also point in this direction since their results showed that social and family conditions, such as low annual family income and lower level of education, increased the risk of perinatal depression, besides the effect of Covid-19. Therefore, the results found in this review should be cautiously taken into account when considering more vulnerable contexts.

Still, low-income families’ financial instability may put them at higher risk of suffering the economic impacts caused by Covid-19. Bai et al. (2020) found that pandemic impacted most families’ income (77%). However, parents reported that it did not affect their quality of life. In this way, it is possible to consider that the medium- and high-income accessed families have more means to guarantee the quality of life, despite the decrease in revenue. That might not be true in low- and middle-income countries. Holmes et al. (2020) consider that low-income families experience the Covid-19 pandemic differently due to professional and financial instability, cramped housing, and restricted access to technology. They suggest that mental health effects are different in this group and need to be studied in-depth and prioritized in interventions. Thus, it is plausible that these families are even more vulnerable to the pandemic social and health effects and need more government support. However, they may be the most invisible population in research.

Even though we did not conduct a systematic appraisal of the included studies, it is clear that they reflect a very initial research body (cross-sectional studies and case reports, for example). On the other hand, most studies inquired about a relevant number of participants, which was only possible throughout an online assessment. As mentioned, this method has its disadvantages (Hunter,
2012), yet it is an essential alternative in times of mandatory social distancing. The current public health emergency state compels researchers to produce evidence fast, which sometimes reduces methodological quality. It is worth noting that some of the included studies did not use internationally-recognized instruments to assess mental health (Asbury et al., 2020; Bai et al., 2020) or social support (Toseeb et al., in press; Wu et al., 2020) and for that reason need to be interpreted with caution. Nevertheless, we believe that the current review accomplishes its goal by providing important aspects that should be considered when addressing parents' mental health during and after the Covid-19 outbreak.

The present study aimed to systematically review research about the impacts of the Covid-19 pandemic in parenting and parents' mental health. Our results suggest that the current outbreak has relevant mental health impacts on parents and pregnant women. Social support's loss seemed to be a key element in the mental health burden observed in parents. This review provides relevant information to support tailored mental health interventions in response to Covid-19. Pregnant women, single working mothers, parents of children with disabilities, and low-income families all require government attention. Additionally, homeschooling policies need to consider parents' mental health since overwhelmed parents may not properly conduct educational tasks for children.

The current review has some limitations. As scientific knowledge is growing fast during the pandemic, this review reflects the studies available at the moment searches were conducted. We did not systematically evaluate the methodological quality of the included studies. For that reason, results should be interpreted with caution. On the other hand, all the evidence seems to point in the same direction.

Epidemiological studies should investigate the population's parental status more frequently since this variable seems to be related to mental health outcomes, especially during public health emergencies such as Covid-19. Future research should also address parents' social support as it emerged as a relevant aspect of this population besides mental health scores alone. Additionally, researchers need to tackle online data collection specifics to adapt to new distancing and sanitary measures during and after the Covid-19 pandemic, especially to include more vulnerable populations.
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