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Abstract
According to the World Health Organization, Brazil ranks third in COVID-19 cases and second in deaths globally. The most severely impacted by this shock are the approximately 10 million Brazilians that live in extreme poverty. This vulnerability is evident in youth, financially, and in their well-being and mental health. Like other cash transfer programmes globally, the Programa Bolsa Família (PBF) is currently being adapted to support individuals and families during the pandemic. The current environment offers a unique opportunity for PBF to focus on young people and tackle poverty from a broader perspective. The PBF can expand its scope to address the longer-term mental health impacts of economic crises and poverty, besides addressing urgent food security and survival needs. This approach could enhance youth’s future life chances and break the vicious cycle between mental illness and poverty that spirals many young people into socioeconomic and mental health disadvantages.
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SALUD MENTAL DE ESTUDIANTES UNIVERSITARIOS BRASILEÑOS DURANTE LA PANDEMIA COVID-19

Resumen
Según la Organización Mundial de la Salud (OMS), Brasil es el tercer país en casos de COVID-19 y el segundo en muertes mundialmente. Los más afectados son los cerca de diez millones de brasileños viviendo en pobreza extrema. Esa vulnerabilidad es particularmente visible en los jóvenes, tanto económicamente como en relación con la salud mental. Junto de otros programas de transferencia de efectivo a nivel mundial, el Programa Bolsa Família (PBF) se está adaptando para ayudar durante la pandemia. Ese contexto ofrece una oportunidad única para que el PBF se centre en los jóvenes y aborde la pobreza más ampliamente. Además de cubrir necesidades básicas, el PBF puede ampliar su alcance atajando los impactos a largo plazo de pandemias y crisis económicas en salud mental. Este enfoque podría mejorar las oportunidades de vida de los jóvenes y romper el círculo vicioso de enfermedad mental y pobreza que les conduce a una situación de desventaja.

Palabras clave: COVID-19; salud mental; protección social; juventud; Programa Bolsa Família.

1. The impacts and responses to socioeconomic and mental health challenges of young people during the COVID-19 pandemic in the Brazil: An opportunity for integration of mental health in the Programa Bolsa Família

1.1 Global context: COVID-19, youth, and conditional cash transfer programmes

According to recent World Bank estimates, it is expected that, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, up to an additional 150 million people globally will fall into extreme poverty by 2021 (The World Bank, 2020a). It has also been estimated that the rise in unemployment from the pandemic caused young people to lose $1.29 trillion of earnings globally in 2020 (Kutwa, 2021).

In addition to the economic damage, the pandemic has also negatively affected many individuals’ mental health (Rajkumar, 2020). Literature has globally highlighted the deterioration of youth mental health or at least a greater tendency to have psychological problems resulting from the pandemic, leading to long-term consequences (Holmes et al., 2020; Jiao et al., 2020; Liang et al., 2020). Such
psychological burden may result in low productivity (Evans-Lacko & Knapp, 2016) and limited decision-making ability (Bishop & Gagne, 2018) that can harm young people's future life chances in this challenging environment.

Conditional cash transfer programs (CCTs) – which are widely used as a social protection measure and consist of making direct payments to people identified as living in poverty subject to complying with a defined set of behaviours – can have a range of positive effects not only on reducing poverty but on wider health and well-being (Fiszbein & Schady, 2009). These include better education through increased school attendance (a typical condition for CCTs) and improved economic circumstances and mental health for children and young people (Zimmerman et al., 2021).

As cash transfer programmes adapt globally to respond to the challenges of the COVID-19 pandemic, at CHANCES-6 – a research project that seeks to generate knowledge about the role of these programmes in improving youth mental health – we believe there is an opportunity to further strengthen their strategies by prioritising young peoples' mental health. In a recently published commentary (Bauer et al., 2021), we made recommendations about expanding the scope of cash transfer programmes to address the longer-term consequences of the macroeconomic crisis on youth mental health and their future life chances. In this article, we reflect on how our recommendations translate to the Brazilian context, particularly regarding the country's main CCT, the Programa Bolsa Família (PBF).

1.2 Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on the economy of Brazil and its young people

As of June 18th, 2021, Brazil ranked third globally in cumulative COVID-19 cases with 17.6 million and second in most deaths with over 490 thousand (World Health Organization, 2021). The country's more contagious variant (P1), which seems to affect the young more than older people (Castro, 2021), is reportedly bringing the health system close to a collapse. With hospitals reaching full capacity across states (Taylor, 2021), the crisis is still far from being controlled and its full economic impact is yet to be seen.
In Brazil, a country where close to 10 million individuals live in extreme poverty (defined as living on less than BRL$ 4.9 or US$ 1.9 per day), and 35% of children under 14 are poor (living on less than BRL$ 14.3 or US$ 5.5 per day) (The World Bank, 2021), the economic impact of the COVID-19 pandemic has been significant. With at least 26 million workers estimated to be lacking access to any compensation, this crisis is expected to have moved 83.5% of the labour market in Brazil into a state of vulnerability (Barbosa & Prates, 2020).

This vulnerability is particularly visible in young workers. In 2019, 23.5% of young people (aged 15 to 24) in Brazil were not in employment, education, or training (NEET) (International Labour Organisation, 2021). Between the first and second quarters of 2020, their post-support labour income (including compensation received even if not working, like furloughed employees) declined by 30.1% due to the COVID-19 crisis (International Labour Organisation, 2021). This demonstrates a greater impact than the national unemployment rate, which increased from 12.2% in the first quarter of 2020 (The World Bank, 2020b) to 14.4% by February 2021 (Moody's Analytics, 2021).

1.3 Impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health among Brazil’s youth

The significant disruptions experienced by Brazilians in their daily lives due to the pandemic-driven combination of a negative economic shock and psychological distress result in a visibly negative impact on their mental health.

Several studies conducted throughout 2020 reveal a high prevalence of psychological problems like anxiety or depression among Brazil’s population (Goularte et al., 2021; Passos, Prazeres, Teixeira, & Martins, 2020). A survey of 1,996 individuals identified that young people, individuals with low income, and those with low education levels were among the most susceptible groups presenting severe symptoms of anxiety, depression, and stress (Goularte et al., 2021). Studies in other countries highlight some of the factors related to poverty that may contribute to mental health deterioration among young people during the pandemic. These include lack of access to school mental health services during lockdowns (Golberstein, Wen, & Miller, 2020) and negative changes in parents’ work situation (Luijten et al., 2021).
1.4 Brazil's adjustments to the Programa Bolsa Família in response to the COVID-19 crisis

To tackle the economic shock caused by COVID-19, the Brazilian government announced a stimulus package in March 2020. It comprised multiple measures, including the provision of credit and loans for micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises, relaxation of labour laws to maintain jobs, and extension of tax payments among others. As part of these initiatives, certain adjustments were introduced to Brazil's flagship CCT, the Programa Bolsa Família. PBF was first introduced in 2003 to break the poverty cycle by providing a minimum income level to extremely poor families conditioned to their investment in human capital (notably education, vaccinations, and medical consultations). In March 2020, the reach of PBF was expanded, adding over 1 million new families who were eligible but on a waiting list due to budgetary constraints, resulting in approximately 14 million families receiving coverage between March 2020 and March 2021 (International Monetary Fund, 2021). In addition, and in line with other countries’ measures during this health crisis, conditionalities of the program were suspended, with the view that as soon as services resume normal operations (e.g., schools reopen for traditional classes), they will be reinstated.

However, whilst the expansion of PBF is positive, this could also be perceived as a mere ‘catch-up’ after several years of reduction and exclusion in the number of beneficiaries and drop in the average amounts transferred.

Following the programme’s growth from 3 million beneficiaries in 2004 to 14 million in 2017, in July of the same year, more than one million families were excluded, and from then until February 2020 the number of families enrolled remained stable at 13 million. Moreover, since the expansion of the programme in March 2020, new admissions have been indefinitely suspended, and the number of families on the waiting list by December was more than 2.1 million (CECAD 2.0, 2021); and more recently the federal government has revealed its plan to centralise the registration process for new beneficiaries, removing autonomy from the municipalities that are currently in charge, in order to reduce costs of social protection policies (Cavallini, 2021).

1.5 Mental health policy response

In terms of mental health policies, Brazil’s public Unified Health System (Sistema Único de Saúde – SUS) created an online health and mental health support
network. Also, in 2020, the mental health sector received an additional BRL$ 65 million (US$ 15 million) for different types of services. However, of this amount, only half was targeted to the Centres for Psychosocial Care (CAPS – community based specialty mental health centres), considered the main source of mental healthcare inside the SUS.

However, like in the case of PBF, these initiatives may not be sufficient, as there is limited visibility on the capacity by the public healthcare system to face this crisis in a country where 56% of total health expenditure is private (Andrietta et al., 2020) and the public system has been notoriously underfunded since the austerity measures, introduced in 2018 (Hone et al., 2019).

In addition, the most recent and controversial Federal Law that guides the national mental health system (Plataforma Brasileira de Política de Drogas, 2019) has had repercussions in different Psychology, Psychiatry, and Public Health associations. This law prioritises additional funds to psychiatric beds and therapeutic communities for drug/alcohol addiction in detriment of investments into the CAPS. Moreover, this regulation implements a new type of outpatient unit for less severe cases, which, in practice, may result in resource allocation conflicts with the CAPS.

1.6 Integrating mental health into the Programa Bolsa Família

The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the vulnerability of young people living in poverty facing the negative economic and psychological effects of this crisis. Equally, it has emphasized the power of CCTs to mitigate negative impacts and shocks to other vulnerable groups.

We have seen some individual responses to the current crisis at both Brazil’s economic and mental health levels. We believe, however, that there is an opportunity for CCTs to deliver a holistic response that focuses on young people, considering the vulnerability of this large group and the broad reach of some programmes like PBF.

This crisis has stressed the importance of considering economic and mental health challenges together, as they might be interconnected. Literature shows that poverty and mental health problems interact in a negative cycle across the life course (Haushofer & Fehr, 2014; Lund et al., 2011). Consequently, young individuals who are losing their jobs during the pandemic can become more vulnerable to develop mental health problems, which would affect their future ability to (re-)gain employment and escape poverty. Equally, those with the existing conditions may worsen, facing
similar negative long-term consequences. This situation can be exacerbated or perpetuated in the future, driven by the economic shock from COVID-19 and the indefinite duration of the pandemic itself, already approaching its third wave.

The pandemic has also offered an opportunity for policymakers to identify the limitations and opportunities of existing CCTs and to tackle economic and mental health issues jointly and more effectively. CCTs like the Programa Bolsa Família, which have a long reach, are ideally positioned to spearhead this effort by implementing certain initiatives to promote mental health.

CHANCES-6 explores how collaboration between researchers, policymakers, and implementers can enhance the promotion of mental health by programmes. In our aim to assist in this process, we highlight certain considerations when integrating mental health into existing cash transfer programmes. In the context of Brazil’s PBF, we believe the following are important to consider:

• Targeting young people more susceptible to developing mental health conditions by identifying clusters of populations with risk factors. PBF already has a strong infrastructure and administrative systems in place to identify and support young people with or at risk of developing mental health problems. This is demonstrated by PBF’s extensive reach, covering over 14 million families (or approximately 25% of the population) with variable benefits for those with children and studying teenagers. Further improvements to maximise the identification and contact of these individuals could include cooperation with CAPS (Centres for Psychosocial Care, which are considered one of the best sources of identification of individuals with mental health issues in the country), or leveraging Brazil’s CadUnico, a single registry of all the households in the country that apply for PBF and other public services. There is an opportunity to leverage the proliferation of mobile phones among young people to accelerate engagement and enable access to assistance to those who are unable to physically reach help. Promising initiatives in this direction are the various online services that have been made available during the crisis.

• Exploring the provision of psychoeducational resources to support mental health and avoid the psychological threats of misinformation about COVID-19. In Brazil, dissemination of fake news and systematic denial of the pandemic has had clear repercussions on the infections and attitude
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towards vaccination (Barcelos et al., 2021; Cabral et al., 2021; Recuero et al., 2020). The confusion created by distorted facts and conflicting messages contributed to intolerance of uncertainty that may be considered as a transdiagnostic factor related to mental health problems like depression or anxiety (Ferreira et al., 2020). This stresses the importance of taking actions to preserve mental health against misinformation flows. The Pan American Health Organization (PAHO) has generated a wide range of educational content available online to help people make informed decisions and prevent the consequences of rumours and misinformation about the virus (including fake news). Among this content, there are specific media cards and videos oriented to parents and children in a clear, simple language that can be easily understood by families across all segments of the population. In Brazil, the Ministry of Health collaborated with PAHO and the World Health Organization (WHO) in campaigns that aim to mitigate the stigma and encourage empathy to those affected by the disease and the professionals helping on the front line (Organização Pan-Americana Da Saúde, 2020). Partnerships between CCTs and trustable institutions can be valuable to fulfil this goal, especially considering the physical footprint of PBF. The programme’s centres can serve as a springboard to disseminate informative resources beyond the digital realm.

• Facilitating access to mental health support for young people and, if the available infrastructure and resources permit it, fully integrating mental health interventions as part of CCTs. Currently, social and mental health programmes for young people are not integrated into the CCTs. One example would be the Brazilian parent coaching programme Criança Feliz, launched in 2016 to help parents have a more loving relationship with their children. It provides access to soft skills training and mental health interventions, and it leverages PBF’s infrastructure, but there is no full integration between the two of them. Whilst Criança Feliz targets early years of parenthood (up to two years), a full integration of PBF with similar types of interventions focused on a wider age range may ultimately boost resilience in young PBF beneficiaries by facilitating emotional, cognitive and behavioral skills.

• Assessing the mental health impact of the programmes. Incorporating this new dimension in the evaluation of CCTs like PBF would not only provide
invaluable insight into the scale and relevance of mental health issues during crises, but also data on how these programmes help address such problems. This would ultimately help in understanding the relationship between poverty, mental health, and life chances. Currently, there are not specific publications about the impact of PBF on youth mental health, although a recent systematic review has revealed several positive aspects of PBF on this topic (Neves et al., 2020).

There are several examples of synergistic integrations between CCTs and other initiatives across Latin American countries, and we believe similar approaches would be beneficial for PBF in Brazil. In Mexico and Colombia, their CCTs (Progresa-Oportunidades-Prospera and Familias en Acción, respectively) have included parents' attendance to nutrition and childcare meetings as a condition to receiving the cash transfer (Barrientos et al., 2013). These creative ways to incorporate new features into CCTs to provide families with skillsets that can improve the overall lives of their children are very encouraging, and we believe mental health is a critical area to cover.

Our understanding of the relationship between poverty and mental health, particularly in vulnerable populations like youth, during current economic uncertainty times, makes the integration of mental health and social protection programmes more salient for young people's life chances. At CHANCES-6, we believe mental health professionals have an important role in advocating for changes to conditional cash transfer programmes so that they support youth mental health.
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