INTRODUCTION

Lifecourse events are vital processes inherent to life. However, to be born, grow, get sick and die, although they seem like the most natural occurrences, do not occur unless there is at least one cause producing such events. According to this conception, birth weight can be understood as an indicator of gestational conditions, and more specifically the intrauterine growth, and, moreover, may be an important risk marker for infant morbidity and mortality.

In the health field, due to its often higher risk, newborns of low birth weight (LBW) have too often been the object of research, however, more recently, studies have focused their attention on those with insufficient birth weight (IB), i.e. newborns (NB) whose birth weight is between 2500 and 3000 grams. Similarly to the LBW NB, the IB NB also seems to be a risk factor for many health issues, such as infectious diseases, especially diarrheal disease, acute respiratory infections, growth retardation and development. In addition, these infants have a higher mortality rate during the first year of life, when compared to newborns of normal weight.

The importance of the NB IB also derives from its high incidence, observed in specific developed
countries. In Brazil, the incidence is 3 to 4 times higher than that of low birth weight, which corresponds to approximately 1/4 of the total live births\(^{12-15}\).

For over 30 years, Puffer and Serrano\(^{15}\) stressed the importance of adequate weight at birth (ABW), > 3,000g, not only for the survival of the newborn, but also for the growth and healthy development of the child. In 1988, Puffer and Serrano\(^{3}\), published data on birth weights in different countries around the world. In India, between 1969 and 1972, 45.8% of live births had IB. In 1973, Cuba’s incidence of IB was 26.8% and it was 23.6% in Hungary, and 11.6% in Sweden. In 1977 the proportion of infants born underweight observed in Chile was 24.9% and 24.2% in Uruguay. In the United States, in 1983, IB occurred in 16.1% of births.

The data highlights the importance of estimating the incidence of birth weight periodically and of assessing, based on the potential aggregate of consequences along the lifetime\(^{3}\), whether the intrauterine growth of these children was adequate. Since this study relates to children who are born with a weight well below the expected average weight for newborns at term, the distinction between a normal and a restricted intrauterine growth is especially important. For these reasons, the objective of this study was to estimate the incidence of underweight at birth in a user population of a maternity hospital member of the Unified Health System (SUS) of a major metropolitan area, and the proportion of these IB NB who were small for gestational age.

**METHODS**

This is an observational, descriptive study based on the universe of live births while occurred in the last quarter of 2009, in the Maternity department of the Hospital Regional de Cotia, in the metropolitan region of Greater São Paulo. The anthropometric characteristics, pregnancy and delivery of newborns were obtained from the birth records at the Birth Center, Surgical and Obstetric Centers; medical charts and, when necessary, interviews were held with the mothers.

All 561 infants in the cohort were evaluated, including those in the study who were classified as of insufficient birth weight, ie, those with a birth weight of 2500g-2999g\(^{3}\), and those full term newborns with normal weight, ie between 3000g and 3500g. Neonates classified as premature were excluded (under 37 weeks gestation) according to the Capurro score\(^{16}\) as well as the NB whose birth weight was greater than 3500g.

Data relating to the newborn was recorded by health workers, who are properly trained in birth registry. Weight was measured using electronic scales, with a maximum capacity of 15 kg, with subdivisions of 10 grams, and their accuracy was periodically checked by a member of the research team. The length at birth was measured by two professionals of the healthcare team using a portable stadiometer, with a movable cursor and scale in centimeters and millimeters. The head circumference was measured with a measuring tape made out of inextensible fiberglass, positioned on the glabella and occipital protuberance, passing over the upper portion of the ear on both sides of the head.

All newborns with IB whose birth weight was below the 10th percentile of the Lubchenco reference intrauterine growth curve were classified as small for gestational age (SGA), in view of their sex and gestational age, the latter analysed by the Capurro method\(^{16}\).

The research project was approved by the IRB of the Faculty of Public Health, University of São Paulo, protocol 1748/2008 and by the IRB of the Social Service of Civil Construction - SECONCI - SP, the entity responsible for administering the Hospital Regional de Cotia.

The municipality contains only one maternity hospital, which is integrated into the Hospital Regional de Cotia, whose aim is to cater not only to the population of its own municipality, but also provides services for the wider region’s demand. It is, therefore, a maternity of reference for many services and social strata in the region, and primarily serves SUS users, along with lower socioeconomic strata of the population.

**RESULTS**

During the three months of data collection, the number of live births in the Hospital Regional de Cotia was of 561 children. Of the total births in the trimester, 49.8% were delivered vaginally and 50.2% by cesarean section.

Table 1 shows that of the total newborns, practically ¼ were NBs with IB while the proportion of infants born at term with normal weight, between 3000 and 3500 grams, was 42.2%. Among the 144 IB newborns, only five (3.5%) were diagnosed as being small for gestational age (SGA).

**Table 1: Distribution of Newborns Based on Birth Weight Categories. Hospital Regional de Cotia, 2009**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification of Newborns</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adequate Birth Weight</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>42,2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB*</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>25,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>32,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>561</td>
<td>100,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*insufficient birth weight
Four mothers of IB newborns, 2.8% of the total, refused to participate in the study. One child was excluded from the study because she was born at home, a fact that made it difficult to confirm the birth weight, and another 8 were also excluded because they were premature (gestational age of less than 37 weeks). As a result, the final sample used for this analysis finally consisted of 131 newborns with IB.

With regard to the average duration of gestational age among IB infants, this was 272.3 days (38 weeks and 6/7) with a standard deviation (SD) of 7.2 days, while the average of normal birth weight was 275.7 days (39 weeks and 3/7) with a SD of 6.7 days, which corresponds to a difference in mean gestational age of 3.2 days.

As for the birth weight of the two groups, the means were of 2897.3 g (SD = 513.8) and 3255.9 g (SD = 460.7), respectively, for the IB NBs and normal weight NBs.

The proportion of cesarean births observed among IB newborns was 47.1% while among infants of birth weight between 3 and 3.5 kg it was slightly higher (51.2% of births).

Among the 131 IB newborns within the sample, 6 were from multiple pregnancies, 4 were diagnosed with congenital toxoplasmosis and 3 had severe malformations. In the remaining 118 IB infants (90.1% of the total) no conditions were identified that could be associated with IB.

**DISCUSSION**

The incidence of low birth weight found in this study is quite similar to the values found in previous research conducted in Brazil, accounting for around one quarter of total births, more precisely 25.7% of live births, which can be considered a high proportion.

Recent studies have shown that there is a very high proportion of cesarean births in Brazil, including the metropolitan area of São Paulo, which, theoretically, in a preliminary analysis, could be considered here as an important factor among those possibly related to the significant incidence rate of underweight infant births and, even worse, to the high frequency of premature births registered in Brazil.

When evaluating the average rate within a country such as Brazil, one runs the risk of not being able to distinguish heterogeneous realities, which is why many studies conducted in different regions, need to be taken into consideration when one wants to deepen the analysis of statistical data from a particular site.

In this sense, a study of 277 pregnant women in 2003 at a maternity hospital in Teresina, Piauí, reported an incidence of IB of 19.5% 20. On the other hand in Recife, Pernambuco, in 1991, in a sample of 5940 live births the incidence of IB was higher, reaching 31.0% 4. In Alagoas, during the period between1985 and 1989 the observed incidence of IB was 20.3% 21.

Based on data from SINASC-1998, Costa et al. 22, found an incidence of IB of 28.7%, of a total of 10,164 live births in Feira de Santana, Bahia. Another study in João Pessoa, Paraíba, based on the data file of maternity hospitals, between 1989 and 1992, evinced a proportion of 23.2% of IB 23.

These results indicate that on average, in northeastern Brazil over the past two decades, as well as in Brazil as a whole, IB should correspond to about ¼ of neonates13-15, an average which is very close to the incidence rate observed in Cotia.

A study by Nobrega et al. 24 at the Centro de Referência de Saúde da Mulher e de Nutrição, Alimentação e Desenvolvimento Infantil da Secretaria de Saúde do Estado de São Paulo, in São Paulo, showed a higher incidence of IB, corresponding to 28.2% of the cases studied. The likely explanation for this higher proportion is that it is due to the fact this is a referral center, hence it prioritizes assistance to high-risk pregnancies.

Lizo et al. 25 reported that, in a private maternity hospital in São Paulo, the incidence of IB was 21%. As noted, although this study was performed in a maternity hospital where most women attending are of high socioeconomic level, incidence of IB was not much different from that of the previously mentioned studies, performed in public hospitals serving users of the SUS system.
Azenha et al.\textsuperscript{26} conducted a comparative study of all live births in 1978/79 and 1994 and found that there was a significant increase in the number of IBs in the municipality of Ribeirão Preto, in São Paulo; in 1978/79 the proportion was of 22.4\% rising to 28.7\% in 1994. This depicts an increase of 6.3 percentage points, an increase of almost 30\% over 15 years.

During studies carried out at maternity hospitals in Campinas between 1975 and 1996, 24\% of total live births were IBs\textsuperscript{5}. In the municipality of Santo André incidence was slightly higher at 26.0\%\textsuperscript{27}. These values are higher than those found in a study conducted on a sample of 1533 children in Rio Claro, São Paulo, in 1991, which reported an incidence of IB at 18.6\%\textsuperscript{28}. In 2001, a study conducted in Rio de Janeiro showed a 24.8\% incidence of IB, which is also very close to that observed in this present study\textsuperscript{29}.

In Colombia, in a study of 245 pregnant women the incidence of IB was 26.1\%\textsuperscript{30}, very similar to the values obtained in Brazil\textsuperscript{12-14}.

All of these studies seem to indicate that in recent years the incidence of IB has remained at values that correspond to about a quarter of the total live births. However, the analysis regarding this group of newborns has received very little attention in the literature, despite these children having been identified in several national studies as having an increased risk of mortality and growth below the expected average, when compared to that which occurs with newborns with adequate weight\textsuperscript{11,30,32}.

Although there are already studies that discuss SGA NB and intrauterine growth restriction, including its consequences in the short and long term, little study has been undertaken regarding the incidence of infants small for gestational age among IB infants, despite the relevance of this discussion, especially when considering the high proportion of cesarean births that occur in Brazil.

Considering the small proportion of SGA observed among IB newborns evaluated in Cotia, it can be assumed that many of these infants, although not classified as SGA by current criteria\textsuperscript{24}, suffer some degree of intrauterine growth restriction, even if of low intensity, possibly during the last weeks of pregnancy, when weight gain is normally markedly higher. Strengthening this hypothesis is the fact that the majority of studies in Brazilian territory has a much higher proportion of NB than would be expected from the normal weight distribution as a function of birth weight for gestational age. Within this distribution, in standard deviation below the mean birth weight one would expect to find only 13\% of newborns and not the 25\% average observed. However, the difference is even greater, since in this proportion of 13\% are also included LBW NB, which further reduces the proportion of infants with IB statistically expected in the normal population, and makes the incidence of IB observed in Cotia even more noteworthy from an epidemiological point of view.

The difference in the average weight of the IB group studied here and the median values of reference of the World Health Organization (WHO) for newborns at term (supposedly at 40 weeks gestational age) is approximately 500g.

A weight difference of this magnitude cannot be explained only by the lower gestational age of the insufficient birth weight newborns in Cotia, compared to the 40 weeks on average that the newborns of the WHO's reference values would theoretically have, estimated to be around nine days. This finding makes it unlikely that the incidence of IB, at least in most cases, can be attributed to the high proportion of cesarean deliveries, which allows for the assumption that problems occurring during pregnancy, not commonly detected in the current routine prenatal care, are also contributing so that these infants cannot reach their full growth potential already during intrauterine life. This hypothesis is also reinforced by the difference in average weight between IB infants, and those of normal weight in this study, with an average of 260g, which can hardly be attributed to the difference in gestational age between the groups; on average of 3.4 days.

The compromise also observed for length and head circumference at birth, not just the birth weight, corroborates this hypothesis, seeming to indicate further the existence of factors that affect fetal growth throughout pregnancy and not solely in its final stage.

These analyses are in line with results found in previous studies\textsuperscript{33,35,36}, stressing that investments are still needed in measures to improve adherence to and, above all, the quality of prenatal care, aiming not only at an increase in the number of visits, but also in the early adoption of such visits, and in evaluation mechanisms for the quality of care provided to pregnant women.

Finally, the persistence of high incidence of insufficient birth weight, as observed in Cotia, coupled with the relatively low proportion of small for gestational age infants among IB NBs with the socioeconomic changes that have occurred over the last 15 years, stress the urgent need for research relating to insufficient birth weight to continue to be undertaken in our midst; without reducing the attention given to improvements of policies and programs for pre-and perinatal care.

Nevertheless, future research should not be restricted only the monitoring of the incidence of IB over time, but should also increase knowledge concerning its determinants, and perform life course studies to evaluate the possible consequences that this birth condition may pose to individuals; and hence the consequences for society as a whole.
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