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Abstract

Introduction: New cases of leprosy occur due to a set of factors associated with the lack of knowledge about the disease, both by health professionals and patients, favoring late diagnosis, the development of physical and social disabilities, stigma and prejudice.

Objective: To verify the knowledge of students completing the physiotherapy course on leprosy and professional practice in the care of patients with the disease.

Methods: A qualitative exploratory descriptive study was conducted with 68 undergraduate students from physiotherapy courses from public and private universities (AU, UB, UC), in the State of Mato Grosso do Sul. Data were collected through a questionnaire with ten open questions about knowledge, practical action, motivations, interests and teaching-learning process about leprosy. To organize and analyze the data, the collective subject discourse technique was used.

Results: It was found that 60% of AU students, 63% of UB and 30.8% of UC have a general conception about the disease. 46.7% of AU students, 77.8% of UB and 80.9% of UC never had contact with leprosy patients. More than half of the students at the three universities said they were unaware of the physiotherapy approaches and practices in leprosy. Almost 100% of UB and UC students stated that the subject was not addressed during the course and therefore did not feel prepared to provide health education and to guide on how to prevent physical deficiencies resulting from leprosy. 73.3% of AU students, 96.3% of UB and 100% of UC recorded negative evaluations, qualifying the course as precarious, insufficient and weak in the leprosy approach.

Conclusion: It is concluded that leprosy should be included in physiotherapy courses systematically, providing practical care activities, developing skills from prevention to rehabilitation, seeking greater motivation and identification of his work in this area.
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INTRODUCTION

More than 16 million patients throughout the world have been treated for leprosy in the last 20 years, with 211,973 new cases occurring in 2015, accounting for 2.9 new cases per 10,000 people. These new cases indicate the transmission continuity of the disease, of which 94% were registered in only 13 countries (Bangladesh, Brazil, Democratic Republic of Congo, Ethiopia, India, Indonesia, Madagascar, Myanmar, Nepal, Nigeria, Philippines, Sri Lanka And the United Republic of Tanzania). Thus, the World Health Organisation has launched the Global Leprosy Strategy 2016-2020, with the intention of eliminating leprosy from the world by increasing knowledgeable teams and focusing on three pillars: government ownership, coordination and partnership, an end to leprosy and its complications, and the cessation of discrimination and the promotion of inclusion.

Leprosy is a compulsorily notifiable disease in Brazil, with the highest detection rates in the central-west, north and northeast regions of the country. In 2015, there were 28,761 new cases (13.6% of global cases), placing Brazil in second place in the world. The main concern is the existing active transmission during the diagnosis phase or develop them during leprosy treatment. These disabilities have a major impact on the patient’s social and work life, largely because of the emotional distress associated with the illness. Thus, this study aimed to assess knowledge about leprosy and the professional performance of physiotherapy graduating students at public and private universities.

METHODS

This was a qualitative exploratory descriptive study, carried out at three universities (UA, UB, UC) in the state of Mato Grosso do Sul (Brazil). All students (n = 68) attended the last year of a physiotherapy course, with 22% (15) at UA, 39.7% (27) at UB and 38.3% (26) at UC.

To evaluate the students, a self-administered questionnaire was used, based on the script developed by Dias, Cyrino and Lastoria, composed of ten open questions related to knowledge, practical action, motivations, interests and the teaching-learning process with regard to leprosy.

Data was collected in a single meeting, in the classroom of each university, between May 2014 and March 2015, and no member had access to the evaluation before the scheduled date. The researcher read the Informed Free Consent Term to the students, which clarified the purpose of the research, and supervised them during the evaluation. The questionnaire was answered individually, without consulting digital or printed sources. All participants signed a free and informed consent form.

To organise data and analysis, the collective subject discourse (CSD) technique was used, as this was developed for the qualitative analysis of social opinion surveys. This methodology seeks discursiveness, inseparable characteristics of collective thought and presents the results in a qualitative and quantitative way, expressed as the perception of collectivity from individual testimonials.

CSD selects the key expression (KE) from each response, formed by the most important passages, which are literal transcriptions that reveal the essence of the testimony and which best answer the questions. KE correspond to central ideas (CI), which may be a name or an expression that accurately describes the meaning of each statement. Thus, the discourse-synthesis is constructed, i.e. KE that have similar or complementary CI. The discourse is written in the first singular person, in which the idea of a group presents itself as an individual discourse (Table 1).

This study was submitted and approved by Ethics and Research Committee of the Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul (document n°. 159,139).
Table 1: Model for CSD composition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Student response and Key Expression</th>
<th>What is leprosy for you?</th>
<th>Central Idea</th>
<th>Collective Subject Discourse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S1. It is an infectious-contagious disease, which has treatment and if left untreated leaves major sequelae.</td>
<td>Infectious-contagious disease.</td>
<td>It is an infectious contagious disease, also known as Hansen’s disease. It has treatment, but if left untreated leaves major sequelae.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S2. It is an infectious-contagious disease, also known as hansen’s disease.</td>
<td>Infectious-contagious disease.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S3. It is an infectious-contagious disease.</td>
<td>Infectious-contagious disease.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S4. It is a pathology in which part of its manifestation occurs in the skin.</td>
<td>Skin disease.</td>
<td>Leprosy is a disease that has spots, where part of its manifestation occurs in the skin, but I know there are other symptoms.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S5. Leprosy for me is a skin disease.</td>
<td>Skin disease.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S6. It is a disease that has spots and it shows signs on the skin, but I know there are other symptoms.</td>
<td>Skin disease.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS

The results are presented according to categories and frequencies, separating the CIs and the discourses by university.

The three universities did not present specific classes and times for the leprosy study, as the general aspects of the disease were mentioned in classes including Community Health, Microbiology, Pathology and Neurology (peripheral lesions).

Table 2: Main ideas and discourses about the conception of leprosy described by the evaluated students of Physiotherapy course, from three universities, Mato Grosso do Sul, 2014 (NT = 68).

Table 2: Main ideas and discourses about the conception of leprosy described by the evaluated students of Physiotherapy course, from three universities, Mato Grosso do Sul, 2014 (NT = 68).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 1: What is leprosy for you?</th>
<th>UA (N = 15)</th>
<th>UB (N = 27)</th>
<th>UC (N = 26)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Idea</td>
<td>Infectious-contagious disease, with skin spots and altered sensitivity (60.0%; n=9)</td>
<td>Infectious disease, affects the peripheral nerves, causes spots on the skin, with altered sensitivity, has a cure (63.0%; n=17)</td>
<td>Infectious-contagious disease, which causes spots on the skin, with altered sensitivity, has a cure (38.6%; n=10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collective Subject Discourse</td>
<td>“It is a dermatological disease, infectious-contagious, transmitted by the Hansen's bacillus, causes spots on the skin and reduces the sensitivity in that region, present dormancy and joint involvement”.</td>
<td>“Leprosy is an infectious, dermatological disease caused by some bacteria and has a cure. Its main manifestation are spots on the skin without present sensibility, it affects peripheral nerves, being able to cause serious superficial lesions, loss of the motricity and muscular atrophy. It can cause tissue loss due to areas that are necrotic. Treatment is made by medication use”.</td>
<td>“Leprosy is a pathology characterized by absence of sensitivity in the affected areas, which leads to a functional impairment for the carriers. Formerly it was called “leprosy”. Causes wounds on the skin, stains on the body and affects the peripheral nervous system. It is caused by an infectious agent (bacteria) that causes nervous degeneration, impairment of the integrity of tissues, which in the advanced stage can reach tissue necrosis. It is a disease that has a cure if treated correctly”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NT = total number of students assessed; N = number of evaluated students by university; n = number of students with the same central ideas.
13.4% (2) of UA, 22.2% of UB (6) and 19.1% (5) of UC did not know the disease, indicating it as: ‘an autoimmune disease that causes a skin stain’, ‘caused by a virus’, ‘animal disease transmitted by animals’, ‘non-contagious, and has no cure, only treatment’.

**Question 2: Have you ever had contact with a leprosy patient?** Where and how was it? – 46.7% (7) of UA students, 77.8% (21) of UB and 80.9% (21) of UC had never had contact with leprosy patients. However, 53.3% (8) of UA mentioned contact with patients during the course, through visiting a hospital or during a curricular traineeship in a polyclinic or public health unit. Some testimonies showed a lack of knowledge about the disease and insecurity: ‘Yes, at the public health unit, during the stage, the patient had a lot of sequelae. It was worrisome because we did not have personal protective equipment and the patient had several skin lesions and we were not certain if it was treated or cured’. Others felt safe because they were sure that the patient was already on treatment. At UB and UC, contact occurred during hospital visits, extracurricular activities and in the subjects’ personal lives.

**Question 3: Do you think leprosy patients suffer from preconception? For what reasons?** - 100% (68) of the students said yes. The main reasons for UA and UB were the contagious characteristic of the disease and the physical stains and sequelae. For UC, the main reasons were the aesthetic aspect, lack of knowledge about the disease and the historical factors associated with leprosy (Table 3).

### Table 3: Main ideas and discourses about the reasons for prejudgement described by the evaluated students of Physiotherapy course, from three universities, Mato Grosso do Sul, 2014 (NT = 68).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Central Idea</th>
<th>Collective Subject Discourse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>UA (N = 15)</strong></td>
<td>Yes, because it is a contagious disease (66.7%; n=10).</td>
<td>“Yes, Because it is a infectious-contagious disease. Some see it as an uncurable disease and they are afraid of being infected”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, because its aesthetic aspect, skin spots and physical sequelae (53.3%; n=8).</td>
<td>“Yes, Because of the “bad” appearance, different, that the disease does to the skin, mainly because of the lesions characteristic. Formerly, because there was no treatment and cure of the disease, the patients were “mutilated” and this frightened those who saw them, because if it was untreated, it could lead to the loss of a limb. It is due to the consequences of the disease evolution and the incapacities”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UB (N = 27)</strong></td>
<td>Yes, Because it is a contagious disease (44.4%; n=12).</td>
<td>“Yes. The main reason is that the disease is transmissible, because people are afraid of getting contaminated. The population has the knowledge that it is a disease that can pass from person to person, and this causes a certain apprehension and fear in having contact with someone with the disease, that soon relate with the leprosy”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, due to aesthetic aspect, skin spots and physical sequelae (29.6%; n=8).</td>
<td>“Yes. The main reasons are that patients get spots on the body, the pathology marks the skin of the carrier. People discover late and there is loss of sensitivity and lesions that do not improve. The patient feels excluded by aesthetic factor (feels ugly), unable to interact with society. People are afraid to get close, to pull over, afraid of catching the disease, contributing to prejudice and social exclusion”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>UC (N = 26)</strong></td>
<td>Yes, due to aesthetic aspect, skin spots and physical sequelae 46.1%; n=12).</td>
<td>“Yes, due to skin appearance (spots), and deformities that the disease may cause. The skin gets wounds and bad smell, the person becomes “ugly” physically, which is not in accordance with the “normal patterns” seen by society, leading to people exclusion”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, historical and cultural issue, by the leprosy name (30.8%; n=8).</td>
<td>“Yes, by cultural factor and historical issue of the disease, because the preconception is ancient, since when it was known as leprosy. It was thought to be contagious and a punishment of life, a punishment for those who were sick and those were considered cursed or impure and should suffer alone and live isolated”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Yes, absence of knowledge about the disease (30.8%; n=8).</td>
<td>“Yes, I believe it is due to society’s absence of knowledge about the disease, not knowing the causes, the treatment, the forms of contagion. Ignorance is the main factor”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NT = total number of students assessed; N = number of evaluated students by university; n = number of students with the same central ideas. Students can present more than one central idea, which can cause a sum of frequencies greater than 100%.
Physical incapacity evaluation, performance and physical therapy

**Question 4:** What are the items that should be addressed in a physical therapy assessment to determine the degree of physical disability in a leprosy patient? - 66.7% (18) of UB and 30.8% (8) of UC declared they did not know. 100% (15) of UA, 22.2% (6) of UB and 53.8% (14) of UC mentioned three to five basic items of a physical therapy assessment: inspection, palpation, goniometry, sensory evaluation and muscular strength.

**Question 5:** What services can a physiotherapist provide for a leprosy patient? - 59.3% (16) of UB students and 61.5% (16) of UC indicated they did not know; however, 53.3% (8) of UA indicated some aspects of professional performance: evaluation and prevention of deformities, health promotion, disease guidelines, treatment and reintegration of patients into society. The rest of the students mentioned treatment and rehabilitation items in general and insecure ways, demonstrating that they did not know the extent of actions and professional performance in the context of leprosy.

**Question 6:** What are the physiotherapeutic procedures that can be performed for a leprosy patient? - 85.2% (23) of UB students and 57.7% (15) of UC did not know how to respond. 60% (9) of UA, 7.4% (2) of UB and 19.2% (5) of UC mentioned at least four therapeutic approaches: exercises for muscle strengthening, range of motion improvement, stretching and sensory stimulation. Only UB (7.4%; 2) mentioned skin evaluations and ulcer care.

All students who failed to indicate the therapeutic approaches were insecure in responding, claiming that the topic was not addressed during the course.

**Student perception - ability to care, leprosy teaching and learning interests**

**Question 7:** Are you currently prepared to advise a patient with leprosy on how to prevent the physical disabilities resulting from neurological impairment? Why? - 53.3% (8) of UA students, 96.3% (26) of UB and 100% (26) of UC answered that they did not feel prepared due to insecurity, few or no contact with the patient, absence of theoretical and practical knowledge about the disease, lack of teaching on the course, lack of knowledge of physiotherapeutic practices for leprosy patients (Table 4).

Table 4: Collective discourses of physiotherapy students who do not feel prepared to counsel a leprosy patient regarding physical disabilities prevention, of three universities, Mato Grosso do Sul, 2014 (NT = 68).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Central Idea</th>
<th>Collective Subject Discourse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UA (N = 15)</td>
<td>I am not. I have few knowledge about the disease and few contact with leprosy patients (53.3%; n=8).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB (N = 27)</td>
<td>I have no knowledge to guide, and the theme was not taught during the course (66.7%; n=18).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC (N = 26)</td>
<td>I am not, because I do not know the pathophysiology of the disease, the theme was not addressed during the course (69.2%; n=18).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NT = total number of students assessed; N = number of evaluated students by university; n = number of students with the same central ideas.
**Table 5:** Collective discourses of physiotherapy students who do not feel prepared to do not feel prepared to provide health education to a leprosy patient, of three universities, Mato Grosso do Sul, 2014 (NT = 68).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>University</th>
<th>Central Idea</th>
<th>Collective Subject Discourse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UA (N = 15)</td>
<td>I am not, because I do not know the disease (66.7%; n=10).</td>
<td>“I am not, because I need more knowledge about the disease and contact with patients. To guide the patient and the population in general I need to know more about the pathology and clarify doubts. I feel insecure about giving wrong information or use unappropriated words”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB (N = 27)</td>
<td>I am not, I do not have theoretical and practical knowledge about the disease, classroom approach to the treatment and performance of physiotherapy (100.0%; n=27).</td>
<td>“I do not feel prepared to guide. I need to know the pathology, its causes, prevention, treatments, how physiotherapy can act and conducts to guide this patient. I need more detailed knowledge about the subject, theoretical and practical, to give the guidelines correctly and safely. I did not have classroom approach, experiences and discussions about leprosy”.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC (N = 26)</td>
<td>I am not. Lack of information about the disease, insertion of the theme in the curriculum, role of physical therapy in leprosy (100.0%; n=26).</td>
<td>“I am not ready because I do not have enough knowledge about the disease, I would need to study more to be confident in what to tell other people about leprosy. It is necessary to know the mechanisms involved in the disease, the main forms of prevention and care of patients, to know the pathophysiology, signs and symptoms, evaluation of the commitments and treatment. It is necessary the insertion of this subject in the curriculum, to understand the role of the physiotherapist in leprosy, and then to elaborate some action of health education and therapeutic intervention”.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: NT = total number of students assessed; N = number of evaluated students by university; n = number of students with the same central ideas.
Table 6: Main collective discourses of physiotherapy students on the teaching-learning process of leprosy, from three universities, Mato Grosso do Sul, 2014 (NT = 68).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 9: How do you evaluate the leprosy teaching-learning process in your course? Justify (consider what and how you learned).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Idea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA (N = 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB (N = 27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC (N = 26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Weak and shallow. There was only one class inserted in community health subject. I had no contact with this disease to go deep in the subject and in the dysfunctions caused by it. We learned basic concepts of treatment, pathophysiology and epidemiology. There were absence of physiotherapeutic conducts and objectives. We do not have a specific class to talk about infectious diseases, it would be interesting".

"Precarious, I find this process deficient because the curriculum does not address this significant pathology to academics. We did not have any specific approach on the subject during the course. It is difficult if you have a leprosy patient. How to act in front of the patient? I would not know how to evaluate it and I would not give it reliability. There is a lot of preconception about the disease. Further preparation of academics, especially of trainees, is necessary so that when they enter the final stretch they will have more knowledge".

"I evaluate it as bad, flawed and insufficient, because leprosy was not a focus at any time, even though it is extremely important. Until then I was unaware of the physiotherapy function in the disease. It is deficient in some pathologies, since the time of the classes is short compared to the number of contents".

Note: N₁ = total number of students assessed; N = number of evaluated students by university; n = number of students with the same central ideas.

Question 10: What topics related to leprosy would you like to have approached in the classroom? - The three universities listed similar themes: physical therapy treatment and knowledge about multidisciplinary practice, pathophysiology, prevention, evaluation and treatment, physiotherapist performance, historical issues and breach of preconceptions, prevention programs and intervention projects about the disease and physiotherapy work with changes in post-leprosy sensitivity.

The topics of greatest interest were the pathophysiology of the disease, physical therapy evaluations and treatments, as well as physiotherapist performance (Table 7).

Table 7: Main topics related to leprosy that physiotherapy students would like to be addressed in the course, on three universities, Mato Grosso do Sul, 2014 (NT = 68).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question 10: What topics related to leprosy would you like to be approached in the classroom?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central Idea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UA (N = 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UB (N = 27)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC (N = 26)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"Specific treatment of the disease and knowledge about the multidisciplinary practice. The importance of physiotherapeutic treatment for leprosy patient and it precautions. Clarification of the physiotherapeutic treatment possibilities, the reality of the treatment, because the theory is very different from the practice".

"Pathophysiology, causes, means of transmission, clinical diagnosis, pharmacological and multidisciplinary treatment, treatment centers, prevention and evaluation. The possible difficulties of case evolution should be addressed, the health-disease process and epidemiology".

"As a physiotherapy student, I would like to have learned about the work of the professional physiotherapist in the different stages of leprosy. To know how to deal with people with leprosy, such as physiotherapy can act in the rehabilitation of this patient, the role of physiotherapy liked to treatment. How the physiotherapy work with a leprosy patient is".

"It would be interesting to address the pathophysiology of the disease, forms of contagion, clinical signs, physiotherapeutic treatment and multidisciplinary action, possibilities of cure, functional impairments, ways of approaching a leprosy patient. What the indications are, contraindications and treatment goals for physical therapy, activities that may or may not be performed. A broader approach on leprosy and its context, preventive factors and work in the public health unit".

"What type of performance fit us, stages of action, team work, types of assists. Physiotherapist’s performance in pathology. What the importance of physical therapy in patients with leprosy is".

Note: N₁ = total number of students assessed; N = number of evaluated students by university; n = number of students with the same central ideas. Students can present more than one central idea, which can cause a sum of frequencies greater than 100%.
Leprosy - conception of the disease, contact and reasons for preconception

Leprosy is a notifiable disease, endemic in Brazil and still considered a public health problem, with a correlation between the disease population and social condition\textsuperscript{10,11}. The later diagnosis and treatment, the more serious the disease\textsuperscript{12}. Stigma and preconceptions resulting from this disease remain in the popular imagination and are linked to the image of the body, because patients may have skin spots, lesions on the mucosa and physical deformities. The patient can also manifest feelings of sadness, worry, fear and isolation due to the possibility of suffering preconceptions and rejection in their social environment\textsuperscript{14,15}.

Despite health campaigns and government actions, society is unaware of the disease and carries erroneous beliefs, fear and preconception. The existence of free and efficient treatment, with easy administration and a high cure rate, is not enough to eliminate stigma regarding this disease. The absence of clarification is commonly observed among those who should guide the population. Professionals who have not had adequate education in their training feel insecure in terms of attending to or touching leprosy patients, favouring behaviours that reinforce the stigma and preconception\textsuperscript{16,17}.

It is imperative to discuss all aspects of the disease and to demystify leprosy among health professionals and students. This will allow them to feel safe and prepared to identify and diagnose the disease early, clarify doubts and reassure the patient about treatment and cure\textsuperscript{15,19,20}.

Therefore, it is essential to contact the patient and the professional practice during the course, to develop skills and competencies and to undo erroneous ideas existing among health professionals. The design of new health practices requires an assessment of the training of professionals, who must be able to perceive the multi-causality of morbidity processes and to visualise the individual in their environment.

Physical incapacity valuation, performance and physiotherapy conducts

It is not possible to say that students know the physiotherapeutic approach to leprosy, since some physical evaluation items were cited, but they were not related to the leprosy patient. Added to this, almost 100% of the students did not feel prepared to advise a leprosy patient, to prevent physical incapacities and to describe physical therapy, due to a lack of knowledge and a lack of content in the curriculum.

It is essential to perform a Disability Grade Assessment and Simplified Neurological Assessment at diagnosis and then every three months during treatment, whenever there are complaints (pain, paraesthesia and muscular weakness), in cases of leprosy reactions, at the end treatment and after post-neural decompression surgery. The disability degree indicates a loss of protective sensitivity and/or visible deformities as a result of neural damage and/or blindness, and is an epidemiological indicator used to evaluate programs, to provide an early diagnosis (the presence of a deformity indicates a late diagnosis), and to compare disability grades at the beginning of treatment and after treatment cessation\textsuperscript{10}.

The Simplified Neurological Assessment checks the integrity of neural function, identifies neurites early, monitors responses to indicated treatment and determines the need for surgery. This involves a physical examination, with an inspection of the eyes, nose, neck, hands and feet, palpation of the peripheral nerves (ulnar, median, radial, common fibular and posterior tibial), performing a sensitive sensory threshold test on hands and feet and a manual test of muscle strength assessing movement and the capacity to oppose gravity and manual resistance, in each muscular group referring to a specific nerve\textsuperscript{16,21,22}.

Every health professional should be able to evaluate and identify any early dermatoneurological signs of leprosy, to guide and propose appropriate therapy and to avoid or minimise physical disabilities\textsuperscript{22,23}.

Physical evaluation and monitoring a patient’s clinical condition are essential for the preservation of nerve structure and function, identifying deficiencies and preventing future changes. The participation physiotherapy professionals in the clinical/functional diagnosis, treatment and discharge of the patient contributes to disability reduction, recovery of motor skills and avoids the progression of neural complications, all of which are essential for participation in a leprosy control program and in the professional team of a public health unit\textsuperscript{7,24-27}.

The physiotherapist has general professional training, directed to integral care of the patient, and is able to act at all levels of health care. Their work regarding leprosy consists of patient and general population orientation and communication, the prevention of new cases, providing a functional diagnosis, evaluation, treatment and rehabilitation of physical disabilities and social reintegration\textsuperscript{24,27,29}.

In the multidisciplinary team, after diagnosis, the physiotherapist classifies disability degree and monitors neural function, basing the therapeutic behaviours on information obtained in the neurological evaluation. The main approaches include conventional treatment with muscle strengthening and stretching exercises, passive or active mobilisation (assisted or not), proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF); the neural mobilisation technique, electrothermophototherapeutic resources, hydration, lubrication and superficial massage of the skin, the development and adaptation of orthoses, splints and insoles as well as guidelines for self-care\textsuperscript{26,28,30-33}.

Physical therapy in leprosy strengthens muscles, decreases and prevents contractures, recovers and maintains joint mobility, maintains tone, integrity and elasticity of skin and prevents deformities. In ulcers, physiotherapy stimulates the healing process, and in cases of surgeries for neural decompression and tendon transfer, it acts in the pre- and postoperative period, controls inflammation, pain, oedema and muscle spasms, maintains functional independence in the activities of daily life and guides the patient toward new patterns of movement post-transf\textsuperscript{10,29,31,32}.

The students who mentioned some type of physical therapy had the opportunity to experience contact with...
leprosy patients or related the treatment of other diseases with neuromuscular impairments and sensory and motor alterations that could be similar to leprosy. Therefore, it is necessary to teach leprosy and a directed approach on neurological physical and neurological evaluations, so that the future professional is capable of understanding and exercising its role as a transforming agent in health conditions\textsuperscript{8,21,34,35}.

**Learner perception - care, leprosy teaching and learning interests**

Lack of knowledge of students and health professionals contribute directly to a late diagnosis, physical disabilities, situations of stigma and preconception and increase the number of infected individuals\textsuperscript{8,21,34,35}. These results demonstrated the need to approach leprosy in undergraduate physiotherapy courses, in order to train professionals to be able to contribute directly to the three levels of health care and to launch a new assessment at professional practices\textsuperscript{8,21,34,35}. Due to the impact that a well-trained and qualified professional has on leprosy patient care, it is important to emphasise a greater search for motivation and identification of their work in this area.

Physiotherapist actions in the control and elimination of leprosy are important, but poorly explored. It is fundamental to review the curriculum of undergraduate courses in order to contemplate leprosy in theory and practice systematically, focusing on a physiotherapist’s professional work, as well as encouraging students and teachers to discuss strategies and challenges in combating this disease.
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**CONCLUSION**

The assessment of physiotherapy students’ knowledge evidenced the absence of important knowledge to identify, evaluate, treat and guide the leprosy patient. Physiotherapist actions in the control and elimination of leprosy are important, but poorly explored. So, it is fundamental to review the curriculum of undergraduate courses in order to contemplate leprosy in theory and practice systematically, focusing on the physiotherapist’s professional work, as well as encouraging students and teachers to discuss strategies and challenges in combating the disease. Due to the impact that a well-trained and qualified professional has on leprosy patient care, it is important to emphasise a greater search for motivation and identification of their work.

It is essential to contact the patient and ensure best professional practice on physiotherapy courses, to develop skills and competencies and to undo erroneous ideas existing among health professionals. It is suggested that, in hospitals and centres specialised in leprosy, partnerships should be established with higher education institutions in order to provide the student with practical experience in the care of patients with leprosy, as well as to stimulate research and innovations in physiotherapeutic activities.

**Source(s) of support:**

The research was funded by Coordination for the Improvement of Higher Education Personnel (CAPES).


Resumo

Introdução: Novos casos de hanseníase ocorrem devido a um conjunto de fatores associados à falta de conhecimento sobre a doença, tanto pelos profissionais de saúde quanto pelos pacientes, favorecendo o diagnóstico tardio, o desenvolvimento de incapacidades físicas e sociais, o estigma e o preconceito.

Objetivo: Verificar o conhecimento de estudantes concluintes do curso de fisioterapia sobre hanseníase e a prática profissional no cuidado ao paciente com a doença.

Metodologia: Realizou-se um estudo descritivo exploratório qualitativo com 68 estudantes de graduação dos cursos de fisioterapia de universidades públicas e privadas (UA, UB, UC), no Estado de Mato Grosso do Sul. Os dados foram coletados por meio de questionário com dez perguntas abertas sobre conhecimento, ação prática, motivações, interesses e processo de ensino-aprendizagem sobre a hanseníase. Para organizar e analisar os dados, utilizou-se a técnica do Discurso do Sujeito Coletivo.

Resultados: Encontrou-se que 60% dos estudantes de UA, 63% de UB e 30,8% de UC têm concepção geral sobre a doença. 46,7% dos estudantes da UA, 77,8% da UB e 80,9% da UC nunca tiveram contato com pacientes com hanseníase. Mais da metade dos estudantes das três universidades disseram não ter conhecimento das abordagens e práticas fisioterápicas em hanseníase. Quase 100% dos estudantes de UB e UC declararam que o assunto não foi abordado durante o curso e portanto, não se sentiram preparados para fornecer educação em saúde e para orientar em como prevenir deficiências físicas resultantes da hanseníase. 73,3% dos estudantes da UA, 96,3% da UB e 100% da UC registraram avaliações negativas, qualificando o curso como precário, insuficiente e fraco na abordagem da hanseníase.

Conclusão: Conclui-se que a hanseníase deve ser incluída nos cursos de fisioterapia de forma sistemática, proporcionando atividades práticas de cuidado, desenvolvendo habilidades desde a prevenção até a reabilitação, buscando maior motivação e identificação de seu trabalho nessa área.

Palavras-chave: educação superior, aprendizagem, prática profissional, hanseníase, fisioterapia.