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Abstract

The aim of this study was to review scientific publications about Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) psychoeducation. A systematic review of literature was performed in eight national and international databases (Scielo Brazil, Index Psi, Pepsic, Lilacs, ScienceDirect, PsycINFO, Medline, and Scopus) using the combination of ADHD and psychoeducation as keywords. In total, 504 studies were found, although only 29 met the inclusion criteria. Afterwards, the information was organized into categories: the concept of psychoeducation, target population, focus of ADHD psychoeducation, variables related to ADHD psychoeducation, and the characteristics of intervention on ADHD psychoeducation. Results show that not all studies present the concept of psychoeducation adopted by the authors. In general, psychoeducation has focused on the relatives of people with ADHD and carried out as group sessions, lectures, and manuals. ADHD psychoeducation contributes to a better understanding of the disorder, treatment adherence, quality of life, and decreased symptoms of ADHD.

Keywords: Attention deficit disorder with hyperactivity, psychoeducation, literature review.

Psicoeducação do Transtorno do Déficit de Atenção/Hiperatividade: O Que, Como e Para Quem Informar?

Resumo

O objetivo deste estudo foi caracterizar as publicações científicas sobre psicoeducação do Transtorno do Déficit de Atenção/Hiperatividade (TDAH). Foi realizada uma revisão sistemática da literatura em oito bases de dados nacionais e internacionais. Utilizou-se a combinação das palavras-chave TDAH e psicoeducação. No total, foram encontrados 504 trabalhos completos, mas apenas 29 preencheram os critérios de inclusão para análise na íntegra. As informações foram organizadas nas categorias: conceito de psicoeducação, público-alvo, foco da psicoeducação do TDAH, variáveis relacionadas à psicoeducação do TDAH, e características das intervenções em psicoeducação do TDAH. Verificou-se que nem todas as
publicações apresentam claramente o conceito de psicoeducação utilizado. Em geral, a psicoeducação tem sido destinada a familiares de pessoas com TDAH, e conduzida em formato de sessões grupais, palestras e manuais. A psicoeducação do TDAH contribui para maior conhecimento sobre o transtorno, adesão ao tratamento, qualidade de vida e menor intensidade dos sintomas do TDAH.

**Palavras-chave:** Transtorno da falta de atenção com hiperatividade, psicoeducação, revisão de literatura.

La Psicoeducación del Trastorno por Déficit de Atención/Hiperactividad: Qué, Cómo ya Quién Informar?

Resumen

El objetivo de este estudio fue caracterizar las publicaciones científicas sobre la psicoeducación del Trastorno por Déficit de Atención/Hiperactividad (TDAH). Se realizó una revisión sistemática de la literatura en ocho bases de datos nacionales e internacionales con la combinación de palabras clave psicoeducación y TDAH. En total, fueron encontrados 504 estudios, pero sólo 29 cumplieron los criterios de inclusión para el análisis en su totalidad. La información se organiza en categorías: concepto de la psicoeducación, la audiencia, el enfoque de la psicoeducación del TDAH, las variables relacionadas con la psicoeducación del TDAH, y características de las intervenciones en la psicoeducación del TDAH. Se ha encontrado que no todas las publicaciones muestran claramente el concepto de psicoeducación utilizado. En general, la psicoeducación se ha diseñado para familiares de personas con TDAH, y llevado a cabo en el formato de sesiones de grupo, conferencias y manuales. La psicoeducación del TDAH contribuye a un mayor conocimiento sobre el trastorno, la adherencia al tratamiento, calidad de vida y menor intensidad de los síntomas del TDAH.

**Palabras clave:** Trastorno por Déficit de Atención/Hiperactividad, psicoeducación, revisión de literatura.

Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) is characterized by a persistent pattern of inattention, hyperactivity, and impulsivity (American Psychiatric Association [APA], 2013). These symptoms are of neurobiological origin and are due to the inability to sustain attention, inhibit impulsive reactions and think before acting (Barkley, Fischer, Smallish, & Fletcher, 2002). As a result, people diagnosed with this disorder tend to present academic, professional, family, and social impairments. Additionally, ADHD is considered a chronic disease. Symptoms begin before the age of 12 (APA, 2013), tend to remain throughout life in approximately 70% of cases (Lara et al., 2009) and change according to the period of individual development. In adulthood, inattention can be manifested by avoiding activities that require attention maintenance, such as watching movies and reading; hyperactivity in the form of internal restlessness or discomfort; and impulsiveness may appear in decisions made without thinking about the consequences (Conners, 2009).

Regardless of the developmental stage, treatment for people with this disorder involves a combination of medication (to minimize the symptoms of inattention, hyperactivity and impulsivity) and the adoption of psychological interventions, such as those proposed by cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT), which aim to develop strategies to deal with residual symptoms (Mongolia & Hechtman, 2016; Sprich, Safren, Finkelstein, Remmert, & Hammerness, 2016). However, nonadherence to treatment may range from 13.2% to 64.0% (McCarthy, 2014). Among the factors that concur to nonadherence are the lack of knowledge about ADHD, medication characteristics, and treatment goals (Charach & Fernandez, 2013). Therefore, providing information about these aspects to patients and their families
is important for them to seek and maintain adequate treatment.

The use of the term psychoeducation began in the 1980s and referred to the transmission of information about mental disorders to family members and psychotic patients. In the 1990s, psychoeducation focused on other groups with different mental disorders (such as bipolar disorder, post-traumatic stress disorder, etc.; Bonsack, Rexhaj, & Favrod, 2015). Currently, this term refers both to the provision of relevant information to patients about the disorder (diagnosis, etiology, functioning), treatment and prognosis, as well as to the clarification of doubts and corrections of distorted information. Psychoeducation aims to broaden the knowledge of the patient/family member about their problem (Menezes & Souza, 2012; Swadi, Bobier, Price, & Craig, 2010) in order to increase the understanding of their condition and help in decision making based on reliable information, as well as to promote treatment adherence (Bégin, Bluteau, Arseneault, & Pronovost, 2012; Bonsack et al., 2015, Swaminath, 2009). Didactic manner and appropriate language should always be considered for the target population, which may consist of patients, family members, educators, and health professionals. The transmission of such knowledge can be done in different forms, individually or in groups, and include lectures, conversation wheels, manuals, videos or bibliotherapy (Bai, Wang, Yang, & Niu, 2015). Psychoeducation presents various benefits, such as increased knowledge about the disorder, motivation for change, participation in treatment, satisfaction with psychological treatment, greater adherence to it, and relapse reduction (Burlingame, Ridge, Matasuno, Hwang, & Ernshaw, 2006; Knapp, 2004; Nussey, Pistrang, & Murphy, 2013).

Psychoeducation programs are more effective in reducing the symptoms of ADHD when compared to isolated drug treatments, which indicates psychoeducation as a valid additional approach for treating ADHD (Ferrin et al., 2016). In addition, identifying information relevant to each case, tailoring the information transfer according to the characteristics of the target population, and verifying if the message was in fact understood may contribute to the patient’s engagement in treatment (Burlingame et al., 2006; Knapp, 2004). Therefore, the objective of this study was to characterize scientific publications on the psychoeducation of ADHD. In particular, the objective was to identify the concept of psychoeducation used by researchers, to whom psychoeducation is intended, as well as the focus of psychoeducation and the related variables and interventions in psychoeducation for ADHD.

Method

A systematic review of the literature on psychoeducation of ADHD was carried out. The search was performed on the Scielo Brazil, Index Psi, Pepsic, Lilacs, ScienceDirect, PsycINFO, Medline, and Scopus databases. These databases were chosen based on their access to the full texts of national (Scielo Brazil, Index Psi and Pepsic) and international publications (Lilacs, ScienceDirect, PsycINFO, Medline and Scopus) in the electronic medium. Combinations between the descriptors ADHD/TDAH or Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder/Trans-torno do Déficit de Atenção/Hiperatividade with psychoeducation/psicoeducação, bibliotherapy/biblioterapia or psychoeducational intervention/intervenção psicoeducativa were used in the abstract field. These keywords were chosen based on the purpose of the study.

In total, 504 complete papers were found. Searches in the Brazilian databases identified only three papers using the combined descriptors. Of these, only one was incorporated into the final sample (Mesquita, Porto, Rangé, & Ventura, 2009), since the other two were duplicates or unavailable. The remaining 501 articles were retrieved from international databases, in which two judges read the abstracts in order to delimit the sample of papers. The inclusion criteria for article participation in the final sample of this study were: (a) to address psychoeducation of ADHD, (b) to be written in Portuguese, English or Spanish, and (c) to be published in scientific journals, meaning books, book chapters, dissertations and theses were not included. In this stage
of analysis, 475 studies were excluded (Figure 1). The concordance index among judges was 89.65%. Disagreements were solved by accessing the publications as a whole and re-evaluating them according to the first inclusion criterion.

The final sample consisted of 29 international publications, which were evaluated in four stages: exploratory, selective, analytical and interpretive reading (Gil, 2006). In the first stage, the papers were read entirely. In the second stage, an in-depth reading of the method, results and conclusion sections was done. The third stage consists of organizing the information in order to identify the main characteristics of psychoeducation (concept, target population, focus and related variables). The fourth and final step groups the information into categories defined a priori, based on the objective of the study:

1. Concept of psychoeducation,
2. Target population,
3. Focus of ADHD psychoeducation,
4. Variables related to psychoeducation of ADHD,
5. Characteristics of interventions in psychoeducation of ADHD.

Results and Discussion

Overall, 29 international studies were analyzed, in which 14 had experimental designs (Aguiar et al., 2014; Anderson & Guthery, 2015; Bai et al., 2015; Ferrin et al., 2014; Hirvikoski, Waaler, Lindström, Bölte, & Jokinen, 2015; Janssen et al., 2014; Kaździele-Olech, 2012; Korzeniowsk & Ison, 2008; Long, Rickert, & Ashcraft, 1993; McCarty, Vander Stoep, Violette, & Myers, 2015; McCleary & Ridley, 1999; Montoya et al., 2014; Myers, Vander Stoep, Thompson, Zhou, & Unützer, 2010; Vidal et al., 2013); eight were literature reviews (Asherson, 2012; Hernández & Gutiérrez, 2014; Hill, 2015; Knouse, Cooper-Vince, Sprich, & Safren, 2008; Montoya, Colom, & Ferrin, 2011; Murphy, 2005; Nussey et al., 2013; Young, 1999); four were surveys (Altin, Altin, & Semerci, 2016; Bussing et al., 2012; Coletti et al., 2012;
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Palacios-Cruz et al., 2013); and three were case studies (Hogue, Bobek, & Evans, 2014; Levine & Anshel, 2011; Mesquita et al., 2009). In general, studies with an experimental design and case studies consist of ADHD psychoeducational interventions for family members of children or adolescents with ADHD. Literature reviews presented ADHD psychoeducation for children, adolescents, adults, family, and school and health professionals. Finally, the survey studies sought to assess the knowledge of adolescents, parents and teachers about ADHD. Information on the concept of psychoeducation adopted by the researchers, target population, psychoeducation focus, and related variables are described in Table 1.

Table 1
Characteristics of ADHD Psychoeducation in this Sample of Articles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (year)</th>
<th>Target population</th>
<th>Concept of psychoeducation</th>
<th>Psychoeducation focus</th>
<th>Related variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aguiar et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Elementary School Teachers</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ADHD (symptoms, etiology, clinical vignettes, strategies for dealing with the disorder)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Altin et al. (2016)</td>
<td>Adults with ADHD</td>
<td>Part of the treatment that helps the patient and his/her family to give meaning to symptoms and obtain awareness about the disorder and its impacts</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anderson &amp; Guthery (2015)</td>
<td>Parents of children with ADHD or ADHD symptoms</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Mindfulness</td>
<td>Stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asherson (2012)</td>
<td>Adolescents and adults with ADHD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Medication and coping strategies</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Relatives of children (6-16 years old) with ADHD</td>
<td>Specific therapeutic program focusing on didactic communication of information and providing patients and families with coping skills</td>
<td>ADHD (symptoms, causes, lifelong harm), medication (side effects, efficacy and safety), treatment options (efficacy)</td>
<td>Knowledge about ADHD and medication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bussing et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Adolescents with high and low risk of developing ADHD</td>
<td>Health Belief Model (HBM) assesses patient perceptions that can be targets of psychoeducational interventions, including perceptions of disorder seriousness, susceptibility, and benefits of interventions</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ADHD knowledge of adolescents and their parents and preferred information sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coletti et al. (2012)</td>
<td>Parents of children with ADHD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ADHD and medication</td>
<td>Decision to initiate medication treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrin et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Relatives of children/adolescents with ADHD</td>
<td>Systematic and didactic approach, adequate for informing patients and their relatives about the disorder and its treatment, facilitating its understanding and personal handling</td>
<td>ADHD and behavior strategies to handle symptoms</td>
<td>ADHD symptoms, psychopathology, quality of life, family stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors (year)</td>
<td>Target population</td>
<td>Concept of psychoeducation</td>
<td>Psychoeducation focus</td>
<td>Related variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hernández &amp; Gutiérrez (2014)</td>
<td>Patients, relatives and teachers</td>
<td>Strategy to inform patients, parents and teachers about the disorder based on scientific knowledge</td>
<td>Correct distorted beliefs about etiology, symptoms, diagnosis, treatment, and management strategies</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hill (2015)</td>
<td>Children, parents, schools, and health professionals</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ADHD (causes, comorbidity, impairments), medication (limitations)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirvikoski et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Adults with ADHD and their relatives</td>
<td>Well-established, evidence-based intervention for several psychiatric disorders that aims to empower patients and their significant others with knowledge about the disorder and its treatment</td>
<td>ADHD in adulthood, impairment at work and in relationships, pharmacological and psychological treatment, coping strategies, and services available</td>
<td>Treatment satisfaction, dropout rate, self-esteem, knowledge about ADHD, quality of life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hogue et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Adolescents with ADHD and their relatives</td>
<td>A set of interactive educational interventions that provides structured information about ADHD. This information is packaged in an easy-to-digest format and sets the stage for developing a unique family profile of ADHD symptoms and related behavioral characteristics for each client</td>
<td>ADHD symptoms, course of the disorder, effects on multiple domains of functioning (family, school, peers), and individual differences associated with ADHD in adolescents</td>
<td>School performance, self-efficacy, self-regulation of academic skills, collaboration between parents and school</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janssen et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Parents of children and adolescents with ADHD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ADHD symptoms, mental health, quality of life, treatment adherence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kądziela-Olech (2012)</td>
<td>Parents of children with ADHD</td>
<td>Information about the disorder and its treatment for patients, family and teachers</td>
<td>ADHD (etiology, diagnostic) and treatment.</td>
<td>Severity of ADHD symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knouse et al. (2008)</td>
<td>Adults with ADHD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ADHD</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korzeniowsk &amp; Ison (2008)</td>
<td>Parents and teachers of children with ADHD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ADHD difficulties, strategies to cope with symptoms</td>
<td>ADHD symptoms, problematic behaviors, educative strategies, symptoms of depression (sadness and low self-esteem)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine &amp; Anshel (2011)</td>
<td>Child (eight years old), mother and teacher</td>
<td>Giving people information about their diagnosis encourages the development of independent problem-solving skills</td>
<td>Diagnostic, worries about peer rejection, and motivation to participate in behavioral interventions</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors (year)</td>
<td>Target population</td>
<td>Concept of psychoeducation</td>
<td>Psychoeducation focus</td>
<td>Related variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long et al. (1993)</td>
<td>Relatives of children (6-11 years old) with ADHD</td>
<td>Bibliotherapy: reading as a therapeutic technique</td>
<td>Use of social learning principles in the management of children.</td>
<td>ADHD symptoms, child’s behavior, knowledge about behavioral techniques</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarty et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Caregivers of children with ADHD</td>
<td>Pictures, graphs, and a brief text to help caregivers and children understand that ADHD and its related behaviors are “brain-based” and the goals of treatment</td>
<td>ADHD (etiology, neurobiology, comorbidities, treatments, impact on life phases), behavior reinforcement and extinction strategies, and parent abilities to handle symptoms</td>
<td>Caregivers’ engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCleary &amp; Ridley (1999)</td>
<td>Parents of adolescents with ADHD</td>
<td>Improve parents’ abilities to cope with and manage the problems associated with adolescent ADHD by: providing information about ADHD, enhancing parenting skills (negotiation and conflict management skills), and supporting parents’ sense of competence</td>
<td>ADHD and coping strategies</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquita et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Adults with ADHD</td>
<td>Allow patients to recognize his/her symptoms, impairments and coping strategies</td>
<td>ADHD, cognitive model, pharmacological treatment and comorbidities</td>
<td>Depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montoya et al. (2011)</td>
<td>Parents and teachers of children and adolescents with ADHD</td>
<td>A novel treatment paradigm, which includes information about the illness and its treatment, skill development, and patient empowerment besides being considered a well-established evidence-based practice for some severe psychiatric disorder in the adulthood</td>
<td>ADHD, comorbidities, treatment adherence, relationship with parents, peers and teachers, social skills, quality of life</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montoya et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Parents of children with ADHD</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ADHD (etiology, symptoms, comorbidities, diagnostic, treatment, prognostic), coping strategies, social skills, and attention problems solution</td>
<td>Pharmacological treatment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Concept of Psychoeducation

Psychoeducation is a result of the integration of several theories and complementary clinical models, such as cognitive-behavioral theory, learning theory, group practice model, stress modeling and coping strategies, social support model, among others (Lukens & McFarlane, 2004). For instance, professionals can apply psychoeducation as an isolated cognitive intervention (Bäuml, Froböse, Kraemer, Rentrop, & Pitschel-Walz, 2006) or as a strategy in CBT to increase the understanding of patient’s symptoms and engage her or him in treatment (Knapp, 2004). Therefore, the definition of what researchers understand by psychoeducation is relevant to facilitate the understanding of professionals interested in applying study results to their practice.

Some analyzed articles did not present the concept of psychoeducation adopted by the authors (Aguiar et al., 2014; Anderson & Guthery, 2015; Asherson, 2012; Coletti et al., 2012; Hill, 2015; Janssen et al., 2014; Knouse et al., 2008; Korzeniowsk & Json, 2008; Montoya et al., 2014; Myers et al., 2010; Nussey et al., 2013; Palacios-Cruz et al., 2013). One study (Murphy,
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2005) described the benefits of patients and families in acquiring knowledge about ADHD, how to identify what harms them, and how to develop realistic treatment goals. However, this study did not present a clear definition of psychoeducation.

Other studies defined psychoeducation as a form of interactive intervention between professionals and the patient(s)/family (Bai et al., 2015; Hirvikoski et al., 2015; Hogue et al., 2014; Montoya et al., 2011). Psychoeducational intervention assesses perceptions of the disorder and treatment (Bussing et al., 2012; Young, 1999), and then provides information about ADHD and coping strategies in a systematic and didactic way (Bai et al., 2015; Ferrin, et al., 2014; Hogue et al., 2014; Montoya et al., 2011).

Its goal is to help patients and others involved to understand the disorder, its consequences and treatment goals (Altin et al., 2016; Hernández & Gutiérrez 2014; Kądzieja-Olech 2012; Levine & Anshel, 2011; McCarty et al., 2015; Mesquita et al., 2009; Vidal et al., 2013). Furthermore, bibliotherapy is an alternative that consists of reading as a therapeutic technique, since self-help manuals can be an effective tool for a variety of problems (Long et al., 1993).

These results reveal the need of clearly defining what the authors understand by psychoeducation and its application as the main intervention or as part of a more comprehensive treatment strategy. Specifying its application in clinical and community settings for various target populations may also be useful for clinicians and researchers (Lukens & McFarlane, 2004).

Focus of ADHD Psychoeducation

The objective of psychoeducation is to provide relevant information to the patient or other people interested in a particular subject (Menezes & Souza, 2012; Swadi et al., 2010). In the sample analyzed, the focus of psychoeducation addressed the disorder itself and treatment options. In particular, the psychoeducation about the disorder itself involved the following aspects: (a) symptoms of the disorder (Aguiar et al., 2014; Bai et al., 2015; Coletti et al., 2012; Hernández & Gutiérrez 2014; Hirvikoski et al., 2015; Hogue et al., 2014; Kądzieja-Olech, 2012; Levine & Anshel, 2011; Mesquita et al., 2009; Montoya et al., 2014; Murphy, 2005; Nussey et al., 2013; Vidal et al., 2013), (b) causes (Aguiar et al., 2014; Bai et al., 2015; Hernández & Gutiérrez 2014; Hill, 2015; McCarty et al., 2015; Montoya et al., 2014; Myers et al., 2010; Vidal et al., 2013; Young, 1999), (c) impairments due to the disorder (Bai et al., 2015; Hill, 2015; Hirvikoski et al., 2015; Hogue et al., 2014; Kądzieja-Olech, 2012; McCarty et al., 2015; McCleary & Ridley, 1999; Murphy, 2005; Vidal et al., 2013), and (d) existing comorbidities (Hill, 2015; McCarty et al., 2015; Mesquita et al., 2009; Montoya et al., 2011; Montoya et al., 2014).

Psychoeducation regarding ADHD addressed topics such as medication (Asherson, 2012; Bai et al., 2015; Coletti et al., 2012; Hill, 2015; Hirvikoski et al., 2015; Mesquita et al., 2009; Vidal et al., 2013) and strategies for symptom management (Ferrin et al., 2014; Hernández & Gutiérrez 2014; Hirvikoski et al., 2015; McCarty et al., 2015; McCleary & Ridley, 1999; Montoya et al., 2014; Myers et al., 2010). In cases of psychoeducation of relatives of children or adolescents with ADHD, intervention also addressed necessary parenting skills for caregivers to improve their relationship with the child and better manage the symptoms of the disorder (Kądzieja-Olech, 2012; Levine & Anshel, 2011; Long et al. 1993, Montoya et al., 2011, Montoya et al., 2014).

One of the studies analyzed did not address psychoeducation itself, although it investigated the level of knowledge adolescents (with high and low risk for ADHD) and their parents had about the disorder. Participants reported incorrect information about ADHD (such as believing that elevated sugar consumption or misuse of medication could cause it) although they thought they were familiar with it. This highlights the need to increase access to information about ADHD. In addition, it is also possible to
observe in the paper that adolescents and parents often seek information on the internet, social networks, and television, although only parents search for printed material and health professionals’ opinions (Bussing et al., 2012). Another study showed that parents value the attempts of professionals to explain the disorder and use of medication. However, parents varied in their preference concerning the amount of information received and the way information was made available. Some parents preferred detailed information in the form of a pamphlet and/or the internet, while others preferred brief information transmitted orally by health professionals (Coletti et al., 2012). These results indicate sources to access the target population at the time of ADHD psychoeducation.

Another study assessed the knowledge and beliefs of public and private school teachers about ADHD. Most teachers considered ADHD a mental disorder (79.3%) and reported the psychologist as the competent professional to perform its diagnosis and treatment. On the other hand, less than half (44.1%) believed that combined therapy is the ideal option and just 14.7% thought medication was the main component of treatment. Nevertheless, they recognized the effects of treatment on the social and academic functioning of students with ADHD (Palacios-Cruz et al., 2013). These results indicate the need to psychoeducate teachers about the etiology of ADHD as well as treatment options and efficacy. After all, teachers interact with students on a daily basis and can assist in the identification and referral of those who may be affected by the disorder.

Target Population

One of the factors that determine the format of psychoeducation is the target population for which it is intended. In the analyzed sample, psychoeducation was performed for one or more populations. Most studies have developed psychoeducation aimed at family members of children and adolescents with ADHD (Anderson & Guthery, 2015; Bai et al., 2015; Coletti et al., 2012; Ferrin et al., 2014; Hernández & Gutiérrez 2014; Hill, 2015; Hogue et al., 2014; Janssen et al., 2014; Kadziela-Olech, 2012; Korzeniowsk & Ison, 2008; Levine & Anshel, 2011; Long et al., 1993; McCarty et al., 2015; McCleary & Ridley, 1999; Montoya et al., 2011; Montoya et al., 2014; Myers et al., 2010; Nussey et al., 2013), or of adults with ADHD (Hirvikoski et al., 2015). One study was conducted with children with ADHD (Levine & Anshel, 2011), four with adolescents with ADHD (Asherson, 2012; Bussing et al., 2012; Hogue et al., 2014; Murphy, 2005), and eight with adults with ADHD (Altin et al., 2016; Asherson, 2012; Hirvikoski et al., 2015; Knouse et al., 2008; Mesquita et al., 2009; Murphy, 2005; Vidal et al., 2013; Young, 1999). The other studies addressed psychoeducation of ADHD for teachers (Aguiar et al., 2014; Hernández & Gutiérrez 2014; Hill, 2015; Kadziela-Olech, 2012; Korzeniowsk & Ison, 2008; Montoya et al., 2011; Nussey et al., 2013; Palacios-Cruz et al., 2013) and basic health professionals (Hill, 2015).

Notably, researchers have a growing concern of whether psychoeducation should be directly delivered to the family members rather than the patients themselves. There are two possible explanations for this point in question. The first justification is that, historically, ADHD has been considered an exclusive disorder of childhood. Thus, the treatment of the child with ADHD depended on the engagement of the parents, which justifies the need to psychoeducate the relatives of children and adolescents with the disorder. Evidence that ADHD interferes with patients’ self-esteem (APA, 2013) highlights the need to psychoeducate for children, adolescents and adults in 2004 (Lukens & McFarlane, 2004). However, despite being over a decade later, the number of published studies about ADHD psychoeducation targeted at patients is still lower than those intended for their family members. The second possible explanation is that ADHD in adults only began to be studied of late and was just recently been inserted in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5; APA, 2013), which may also justify the modest number of studies on psychoeducation for this population. Despite the gaps identified in the reports of psychoeducation for different publics,
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It is recommended that the general population receive special attention regarding ADHD psychoeducation, since it can contribute not only to the identification of untreated cases but also increase positive attitudes towards people with ADHD (Nussey et al., 2013).

**Variables Related to the ADHD Psychoeducation**

The studies analyzed found that ADHD psychoeducation was related to greater adherence to treatment by patients and relatives, higher levels of stress (Anderson & Guthery, 2015; Ferrin et al., 2014; Hirvikoski et al., 2015), improved self-esteem (Young, 1999), greater knowledge about the disorder (Aguiar et al., 2014; Bai et al., 2015; Hirvikoski et al., 2015), better quality of life (Ferrin et al., 2014; Hirvikoski et al., 2015; Janssen et al., 2014; Vidal et al., 2013), decreased symptoms of ADHD and other psychopathologies (Ferrin et al., 2014; Janssen et al., 2014; Kądziela-Olech, 2012; Korzeniowski & Ison, 2008; Levine & Anshel, 2011; Long et al., 1993; Mesquita et al., 2009; Myers et al., 2010; Vidal et al., 2013), greater collaboration between caregivers and schools, and higher levels of academic achievement (Hogue et al., 2014).

The variables associated with ADHD psychoeducation in the studies analyzed are in line with the variables used to measure the impact on psychoeducational interventions in general. Evidence on psychoeducation programs in general shows that it seems to be associated with the reduction of symptoms linked to ADHD, anxiety, and depression (regardless of the focus of psychoeducation), in addition to greater adherence and satisfaction with the treatment, greater knowledge, improved self-esteem, coping strategies and quality of life (Lukens & McFarlane, 2004).

**Characteristics of Interventions in ADHD Psychoeducation**

In the analyzed sample, 17 studies presented psychoeducational interventions for people with diagnosis or signs of ADHD. The diagnosis was made by triangulation of data in five surveys (Bai et al., 2015; Ferrin et al., 2014; Hirvikoski et al., 2015; Levine & Anshel 2011; Montoya et al., 2014). In fact, the diagnosis of ADHD is clinical and requires the collection of information from different sources, such as scales and semi-structured interviews based on the DSM-5 criteria with the patient, collection of information with the individual’s relatives, identification of the contexts in which nuclear symptoms are present, as well as the functional impairment associated with them (Mattos et al., 2006). The other studies analyzed based the diagnosis only on diagnostic criteria (Hogue et al., 2014; Kądziela-Olech, 2012; Vidal et al., 2013), scales (McCarty et al., 2015; Mesquita et al., 2009; Vidal et al., 2013), clinical interviews (Janssen et al., 2014; Long et al., 1993; McCleary & Ridley, 1999; Myers et al., 2010) or trust in prior clinical diagnosis (Korzeniowski & Ison, 2008), which can lead to misdiagnosis since ADHD and other disorders share similar symptoms such as mood and anxiety disorders (Searight, Burke, & Rootnek, 2000).

Most interventions consisted of a set of interactive group sessions (Ferrin et al., 2014; Hirvikoski et al., 2015; Hogue et al., 2014; Korzeniowski & Ison, 2008; McCarty et al., 2015; McCleary & Ridley, 1999; Montoya et al., 2014; Vidal et al., 2013), while others occurred in the form of lectures associated with dealing with the disorder (Aguiar et al., 2014; Bai et al., 2015), bibliotherapy (Anderson & Guthery, 2015; Long et al., 1993), and individual encounters (Levine & Anshel, 2011; Mesquita et al., 2009). Only two studies reported who received psychoeducation without describing how it was performed (Janssen et al., 2014; Myers et al., 2010). Another study reported the follow-up of a monthly psychoeducational intervention for parents and teachers of children with ADHD after one and 10 years, although it did not describe how psychoeducation was performed (Janssen et al., 2014; Myers et al., 2010). Another study reported the follow-up of a monthly psychoeducational intervention for parents and teachers of children with ADHD after one and 10 years, although it did not describe how psychoeducation was performed (Janssen et al., 2014; Myers et al., 2010).
three studies that performed qualitative assessments (Hogue et al., 2014; Korzeniowsk & Ison, 2008; Levine & Anshel, 2011). Process measures, such as presence in sessions, dropout rate, and training of facilitators were rarely assessed. The study of Aguiar et al. (2014) described the training offered to the professional team that conducted the intervention, and the one by Myers et al. (2010) evaluated the presence of the parents in the intervention sessions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors (year)</th>
<th>ADHD diagnosis</th>
<th>Intervention model</th>
<th>Assessment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aguiar et al. (2014)</td>
<td>None (teachers)</td>
<td>One six-hour session: well-balanced mixture of lectures on ADHD, presentations of clinical vignettes specially constructed for challenging potential misconceptions, followed by a vivid group discussion about ADHD issues (etiology and strategies for managing ADHD at school). Written manual summarizing the content discussed.</td>
<td>Questionnaire to assess ADHD knowledge (symptoms, etiology, strategies to handle the disorder and treatment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bai et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Based on DSM-IV, on Barkley’s Clinical Diagnostic Interview Scale and on a psychiatrist evaluation</td>
<td>Two 40-minute sessions with a maximum of 10 parents in order to give information about side effects and answer questions. Lecture and manual for parents based on four components: 1- ADHD information, 2- Treatment-related information outlining pharmaceutical and non-pharmaceutical approaches, 3- Barriers to adherence and coping skills, 4- Parenting skills for ADHD children and adolescents The manual included forms on which to record children’s medication-taking behavior and side effects. Online community with information about health, communication with other parents and with those responsible for interventions</td>
<td>Questionnaire about ADHD and medication, questions about medication adherence, TPB model, ADHD Rating Scale-IV, and program satisfaction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrin et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Based on DSM-IV, confirmed by clinic interview with psychiatry, structured interview (KSADS-PL)</td>
<td>12 weekly sessions of 90 minutes for groups (a maximum of 10 relatives) Sessions 1-9: ADHD, Sessions 10-12: behavioral strategies for ADHD symptoms management and reduction of defiant behavior. Hand-outs at the end of each session.</td>
<td>K-SADS-PL, Conners’ Parent Rating Scale Revised 27-items version, Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire, Clinical Global Impression Scale, PSI–SF, EuroQoL Five Dimension Questionnaire, PedsQLTM Family Impact Module</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors (year)</td>
<td>ADHD diagnosis</td>
<td>Intervention model</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hirvikoski et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Based on clinic interview according to DSM-IV-TR, WURS, ASRS, and family information</td>
<td>PEGASUS: eight sessions (150 min with a 30-minute break) in groups (20-30 people with ADHD and significant others) 1- ADHD in adulthood, 2- Pharmacological and psychological treatment, 3- Lifestyle factors, 4- Structure and strategies in everyday life, 5- Living with ADHD, 6- ADHD in relationships, 7- ADHD at work, 8- Service and support provided by society Method: audiovisual material and group discussion</td>
<td>ADHD 20 questions, QAFM, Rosenberg’s Self-Esteem Scale, Adult Attention Deficit/Hyperactivity Disorder Quality-of-Life (AAQoL) Scale, Burden Assessment Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hogue et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Diagnostic criteria for ADHD</td>
<td>CASH-AA 1- ADHD psychoeducation, 2- Motivation and preparation, 3- Behavior change, 4- Collaboration ADHD Style Index and checklists (Problem Scorecards) for ADHD and executive functions psychoeducation</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Janssen et al. (2014)</td>
<td>Clinic interview</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>ADHD Rating Scale, Health of the Nation Outcome Scale, Kidscreen-10, Morisky Adherence Scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kądziela-Olech (2012)</td>
<td>CID-10 criteria</td>
<td>Monthly visits to parents. Modules: 1- Diagnostic, 2- Difficulties kids can face in school with family and peers, 3- Regulation of the child’s lifestyle to assure education and consolidation of desirable habits, 4- Reducing the amount of incentives which stimuli and release anxiety, 5- Adjustment of parental expectation regarding children behavior Information for teachers about ADHD nature and how to handle kids in school</td>
<td>Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korzeniowsk &amp; Ison (2008)</td>
<td>Previous ADHD diagnosis</td>
<td>Eight weekly sessions for parents. Modules: 1- Information about ADHD, 2- Factors that interfere in ADHD children’s behavior, 3- School difficulties and strategies for paying attention, 4- Chips economy, 5- Schedule, 6- Establishing limits, 7- Identifying resources, 8- Problem solving Eight weekly sessions for teachers. Modules: 1- Information about ADHD, 2- ADHD children and educational context, 3- Organization and study habits, 4- ADHD children’s attention and motivation, 5- Strategies to handle hyperactivity and impulsivity in classroom, 6- Behavior management and interpersonal relationships, 7- Strategies optimization, 8- Future problems</td>
<td>Semi structured interview</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors (year)</td>
<td>ADHD diagnosis</td>
<td>Intervention model</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Levine &amp; Anshel (2011)</td>
<td>Child observation, interview with child, mother and teacher, National Initiative for Children’s Healthcare Quality (NICHQ, based on DSM-IV), Teacher Rating Scale of the BASC-II</td>
<td>Modules: 1- Cognitive-behavioral interventions, 2- Behaviorally based parent and teacher training, 3- Literature about ADHD, online information and a list of support group for the mother, 4- Psychoeducation about ADHD, CBT and coping strategies</td>
<td>Classroom observations, interview with the mother and teacher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long et al. (1993)</td>
<td>Clinic interview with pediatrician</td>
<td>Bibliotherapy: techniques for child’s oppositional behavior management (reinforcement, ignore, give instructions, time-out).</td>
<td>Conners Parent Rating Scale-Hyperactivity Index (CPRS-HI), Eyberg Child Behavior Inventory (ECBI), Behavior Rating Profile-Teacher Rating Scale (BRPT), Knowledge of Behavioral Principles as Applied to Children (KBPAC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCarty et al. (2015)</td>
<td>Child Behavior Checklist and Computerized Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children</td>
<td>Six weekly sessions. Modules: 1- Understanding ADHD and your child, 2- School advocacy for children with ADHD, 3- Praising and ignoring skills, 4- Giving clear instruction and following through, 5- Time out and other consequences, 6- Putting it all together</td>
<td>Child Behavior Checklist, Computerized Diagnostic Interview Schedule for Children, IOWA Conners’ Rating Scale, Global Assessment of Improvement scale</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McCleary &amp; Ridley (1999)</td>
<td>Clinic interview</td>
<td>Ten weekly sessions of 120 min in groups of 8-24 parents Modules: problem solving, getting to know your kid – again, planned ignoring, contingency planning, planning ahead, time out – grounding, sibling relationships, school-related problems, and peer relationships.</td>
<td>Sociodemographic questionnaire, Conflict Behavior Questionnaire (CBQ), Issues Checklist, and a specific qualitative questionnaire in the end of the program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesquita et al. (2009)</td>
<td>DSM-IV criteria and ASRS</td>
<td>Twenty weekly sessions of 50 min focusing on ADHD and depression symptoms reduction. Techniques: psychoeducation, problem solving, Socratic questioning, cognitive restructure, schedule activities, reminders and alarms, prioritization, time management, and organization</td>
<td>BDI, BAI and ASRS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montoya et al. (2014)</td>
<td>DSM-IV-TR criteria, ADHD-RS-IV Parent: Inv, Clinical Global Impression-ADHD Severity</td>
<td>Five weekly sessions of 90 min in groups of six parents. Modules: 1- Overview of program content and ADHD (etiology, epidemiology, symptomatology, comorbidities, diagnosis, treatment, prognosis), 2- Reinforcement techniques, 3- Techniques oriented to diminish inadequate behavior, 4- Interventions for attention problems, 5- Recap of previous four sessions</td>
<td>ADHD-RS-IV Parent: Inv, CGI-ADHD-S, WFIRS-P, Treatment satisfaction questionnaire.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors (year)</td>
<td>ADHD diagnosis</td>
<td>Intervention model</td>
<td>Assessment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myers et al. (2010)</td>
<td>Clinic interview with pediatrician (based on DSM-IV)</td>
<td>Parents received psychoeducation about etiology and ADHD management</td>
<td>Vanderbilt ADHD Rating Scales–Parent (VADPRS) and Teacher (VADTRS) versions, interviews, number of sessions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vidal et al. (2013)</td>
<td>DSM-IV criteria, ADHD-RS and CGI-S</td>
<td>Twelve weekly sessions of two hours with 7-8 participants. Modules: 1- Myths and realities in ADHD, 2- Diagnosis and characteristics of ADHD, 3- ADHD causes and treatments, 4- Implication of a family member, 5- Positive and negative symptoms, 6- Relaxation, 7- Planification in ADHD, 8- Problem solving in ADHD, 9- Attention in ADHD, 10- Cognitive model of ADHD</td>
<td>ADHD-RS, CAARS-S, CGI-S, BDI, STAI-S, Quality of Life Enjoyment and Satisfaction Questionnaire (QLESQ)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Patients usually retain only 50% of the relevant information transmitted by the professional (Swaminath, 2009), which suggests the need to assess the information acquired by the patients. Only three studies verified whether patients, family members, and teachers in fact understood the information provided in the psychoeducation of ADHD. Bai et al. (2015) used a questionnaire composed of 16 items to assess the knowledge of the parents of children with ADHD. Five items referred to ADHD (nuclear symptoms, causes, losses, if chronic) and 11 to medication (combined treatment, decreased ADHD symptoms, possibility of dependence, side effects). After intervention, participants in the experimental group demonstrated significantly greater knowledge than the control group and increased tendency to adhere to treatments (Bai et al., 2015). Hirvikoski et al. (2015) also assessed the knowledge of participants through a questionnaire. The ADHD 20 Questions consists of 20 items about the beginning of ADHD, possibility of contagion, symptoms, possible impairments, comorbidities, etc. (Bramham et al., 2009). The intervention resulted in greater knowledge of the disorder, psychological well-being and quality of the relationship between the adults with ADHD and family members (Hirvikoski et al., 2015). Aguiar et al. (2014) assessed the knowledge of primary school teachers about ADHD through an instrument built by the researchers themselves based on items in the literature. As a result, they found a reduction in the level of incorrect beliefs about ADHD (Aguiar et al., 2014). The use of instruments such as these can help identify distorted information to be addressed during psychoeducation, as well as serve as a way to assess the effectiveness of psychoeducation for the target population.

**Final Considerations**

This study described the characteristics of psychoeducation for ADHD reported in national and international publications. Upon analyzing 29 studies that met inclusion criteria, it is possible to conclude that not all publications clearly present the concept of psychoeducation used, which may confuse readers given the theoretically diverse nature of the construct. The target public of psychoeducational interventions, in most studies, were relatives of people with ADHD. In general, psychoeducation about ADHD seems to contribute to greater knowledge of the disorder, greater adherence to treatment, lower intensity of symptoms, and higher quality.
However, few studies have assessed how much information provided in psychoeducation was in fact retained by the target population.

However, several limitations that may interfere in the interpretation of the presented results should be considered. The literature review was performed with a combination of only five descriptors and in just two languages, thus other articles on the same subject may not have been identified and analyzed. Therefore, results refer only to the sample of articles selected for analysis in this study.

The main contribution of this systematic review was to provide an overview of national and international production on psychoeducation about ADHD available in eight databases, which enabled the identification of aspects that increased the attention of researchers. Notably, no reports of psychoeducation targeted at higher education teachers and health professionals were identified, which points to an exciting field of study aimed at developing psychoeducational interventions about the disorder and its treatment for this public. Additionally, it was possible to observe that the content that must be transmitted in psychoeducation about ADHD is already known, and professionals can invest in further means of contribution (internet, social networks, manuals) in order to reach teenagers and parents.
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